13:12:40

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

GERARD SZUBIELSKI,

Plaintiff,

C.A. No. 15-984(RGA)(JLH)

V.

WARDEN DAVID PIERCE,

et al.,

Defendants.
)

Wednesday, July 7, 2021 9:10 a.m. Jury Trial

844 King Street Wilmington, Delaware

BEFORE: THE HONORABLE JENNIFER L. HALL
United States District Court Magistrate Judge

APPEARANCES:

TROUTMAN PEPPER HAMILTON SANDERS LLP

BY: JOANNA J. CLINE, ESQ.

BY: COURTNEY A. MUNNINGS, ESQ.

BY: KARLI E. COZEN, ESQ.

BY: LAURENCE Z. SHIEKMAN, ESQ.

BY: NICOLE CROSSEY, ESQ.

Counsel for the Plaintiff

1

APPEARANCES CONTINUED:

2

3

4

5

6

7

08:43:30 8

09:10:09 9

09:10:2410

09:10:2611

09:10:2912

09:10:3213

09:10:3514

09:10:3915

09:10:4216

09:10:4517

09:10:4918

09:10:5119

09:10:5320

09:10:521

09:11:0222

09:11:0423

09:11:024

09:11:0925

DELAWARE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

BY: KENNETH LEE-KAY WAN, ESQ.

BY: ALLISON JEAN McCOWAN, ESQ.

BY: REBECCA SONG, ESQ.

Counsel for the Defendant

THE COURT: Please be seated. So we are here scheduled for the first day of trial. Could I have

MS. CLINE: Your Honor, Joanna Cline with Troutman Pepper Hamilton Sanders for the plaintiff, Gerard Szubielski. With me is Courtney Munnings, Laurence Shiekman, Karli Cozen and Nicole Crossey.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. WAN: Good morning, Your Honor. Kenneth Wan along with my co-counsel Allison McCowan and Rebecca Song for the defendant, David Pierce.

THE COURT: Good morning. So let's get to the primary concern here which is the letter that I received this morning from the state.

So Mr. Wan.

appearances for the record, please?

MR. WAN: Good morning, Your Honor. Kenneth Wan again on behalf of the defendant. And I think the letter is

09:11:11 1 relatively self explanatory. At 4:23 this morning I
09:11:16 2 received an e-mail from Mr. Pierce --

09:11:18 3

09:11:19 4

09:11:22 5

09:11:24 6

09:11:27 7

09:11:33 8

09:11:35 9

09:11:3810

09:11:4211

09:11:4512

09:11:4713

09:11:5214

09:11:5515

09:11:5916

09:12:0217

09:12:0518

09:12:0819

09:12:1220

09:12:1421

09:12:1522

09:12:1823

09:12:2224

09:12:2425

THE COURT: You can come to the podium.

MR. WAN: Okay. I didn't know what the rules were. I apologize, Your Honor.

-- that he was suffering from severe stomach pain and uncontrollable vomiting due to a chronic illness he He still continued to experience the symptoms had. throughout the morning. I tried to call him, he didn't pick up. I don't know, he did mention that whatever the conditions required, whether they required hospitalization, I don't know whether he's in there or not, but, you know, the fact is that I believe a continuance is warranted for a number of reasons. One, I don't believe Mr. Pierce is in condition to stand trial. And even if he were to be brought in here, I'm not sure uncontrollable vomiting is something you want to have in the courtroom right now, especially with the whole COVID thing. And even not, I think it would be distracting for everyone if that was going on, so I think there are concerns here, so I think a continuance is warranted in this case, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Wan, I should have said you're welcome to take your mask off while you're at the podium. I know you have represented Mr. Pierce in other cases. Do you have any more information about the nature of this

09:12:28 1 09:12:32 2 09:12:36 3 09:12:41 4 09:12:46 5 09:12:50 6 09:12:53 7 09:12:57 8 09:13:00 9 09:13:0510 09:13:0811 09:13:1412 09:13:1713 09:13:1914 09:13:2315 09:13:3016 09:13:3317 09:13:3718 09:13:3919 09:13:4420 09:13:4521 09:13:4722 09:13:5123

09:13:5224

09:13:5325

condition? And the reason why I'm asking is that I'm trying to get a sense of when you think he's likely to be able to come in and testify. And just to put it all out on the table, I want to try to salvage getting this trial moving if we can. We have ninety jurors here that have showed up for service. I know both sides are interested in getting this case tried and I can think of various scenarios where we could pick a jury today, get started tomorrow or Friday and have him testify Monday. But I need to have some sense of whether or not that's something that is feasible.

MR. WAN: Your Honor, I guess I don't want to go too much into his medical condition, but I believe --

MS. McCOWAN: I know there may be members of the public in the room, so he has a chronic stomach condition that does lead to hospitalization. And we do have that consent this morning but we didn't want to put it on the public record if Your Honor is interested in that. From my understanding from the medical condition it general -- it may require hospitalization. It will take five days to a week to resolve.

THE COURT: Could I see a copy of the letter?

Do you have a copy by any chance for opposing counsel?

MR. WAN: The letter or the e-mail?

THE COURT: The e-mail.

MR. WAN: The sum of it is attorney/client

09:13:57 1 privilege, but I'll show it to them. 09:13:59 2 THE COURT: If you don't want to waive 09:14:01 3 privilege, I completely understand if it has to do with --09:14:05 4 MR. WAN: I guess the e-mail itself, it is in response to the e-mail I sent him. 09:14:08 5 09:14:10 6 THE COURT: Let's do this. Why don't we take a 09:14:13 7 side-bar and to the extent there is details about medical 09:14:17 8 condition you want read in open court, but are you still 09:14:20 9 able to tell us what you can tell us at side-bar, so why 09:14:2510 don't we -- I don't have a mask. I can represent that I have been fully vaccinated. Does anyone have any objection 09:14:2711 09:14:3012 to discussing anything with me at side-bar? 09:14:3213 MR. WAN: No. 09:14:3314 (Side-bar discussion.) 09:25:4115 THE COURT: Do you have any objection to Mr. Wan 09:25:4116 having his mask off? 09:25:4117 MS. CLINE: Not to that. 09:25:4118 THE COURT: If you could just give us some more 09:25:4119 detail. 09:25:4120 MS. McCOWAN: He has Crones disease and so --09:25:4121 and Irritable Bowel Syndrome, so if he's experiencing a 09:25:4122 Crones flair up, he may likely require hospitalization. 09:25:4123 know this is based on prior cases that we have represented 09:25:4124 Mr. Pierce with.

THE COURT: Have you had to continue a trial

09:25:4125

6

5:41 1 before in any other case?

MS. McCOWAN: No, not in those cases.

THE COURT: And I'm just thinking off of my head here. It seems to me that this is something that might be exacerbated by the stress caused by a trial. If this could happen again, so I'm wondering again is it more -- are we in a better chance of getting him on the stand on Monday or a month from now, or is it the same?

MS. McCOWAN: We had a four-day trial at the start of June and had no issues and that was a much more contentious trial with more claims. To my knowledge we haven't had an issue with it being exacerbated.

MR. WAN: I was in trial with Mr. Pierce with Judge Andrews from June 10th to June 12th with Mr. Pierce there. He didn't have that issue there. I would think it would be less of a -- I'm sure the stress doesn't help it right now, but if I had to guess, I think it would be more that once he recovers, he'll be okay. But like I said, I do apologize, it takes a lot --

THE COURT: Let me ask you this. Your letter has said that it could last for days. You mentioned here a week. Do you have a sense from him whether it's days or whether it's a week?

MR. WAN: It says a few days, so -- I'm sorry, a couple of days, so.

09:25:41 1 09:25:41 2

09:25:41 3

09:25:41 4

09:25:41 5

09:25:41 6

09:25:41 7

09:25:41 8

09:25:41 9

09:25:4110

09:25:4111

09:25:4112

09:25:4113

09:25:4114

09:25:4115

09:25:4116

09:25:4117

09:25:4118

09:25:4119

09:25:4120

09:25:4121

09:25:4122

09:25:4123

09:25:4124

09:25:4125

09:25:41 1
09:25:42 2
09:25:42 3
09:25:42 4
09:25:42 5

09:25:42 6 09:25:42 7

09:25:42 8

09:25:42 9

09:25:4210

09:25:4211

09:25:4212

09:25:4213

09:25:4215

09:25:4216

09:25:4217

09:25:4218

09:25:4219

09:25:4220

09:25:4221

09:25:4222

09:25:4223

09:25:4224

09:25:4225

MS. SONG: Your Honor, we got this e-mail at 4:23 this morning and unfortunately at this time we don't have enough information to relay to the Court how much time he will need to recover. We don't want to suggest Monday and then come back and say it's not right.

THE COURT: I also don't want to send ninety people home and plaintiff's counsel has already incurred the charge for the jury consultant as well.

Let's hear from counsel.

MS. CLINE: So respectfully this case was filed in 2015. Our client has waiting for his day in court since then. We got to the summary judgment stage in 2020. The hearing was postponed at the state's request because of the COVID hardship with then counsel's representing statement and the trial date was pushed for COVID. Now we're here. Our client has been transferred from Sussex. Without going into details or waiving privilege, I can represent that he has mental illness and it was a huge deal for him to be moved. And this whole trial process and preparation process has been a big deal. My colleagues prepped him over the holiday weekend. The jury is here. We have people that traveled from Philadelphia, Princeton.

We did a quick look at the case law this morning. Our understanding is that Mr. Pierce has no constitutional right to be present, Arrington v. Robinson,

09:25:42 1

09:25:42 2

09:25:42 3

09:25:42 4

09:25:42 5

09:25:42 6

09:25:42 7

09:25:42 8

09:25:42 9

09:25:4210

09:25:4211

09:25:4212

09:25:4213

09:25:4214

09:25:4215

09:25:4216

09:25:4217

09:25:4218

09:25:4319

09:25:4320

09:25:4321

09:25:4322

09:25:4323

09:25:4324

09:25:4325

Third Circuit 1940, he can be represented in person or by counsel. I think he's ably represented here by counsel.

His counsel told us yesterday that Mr. Pierce didn't plan to be present on Friday for the trial which is presumably the day the jury is going to render the verdict. Respectfully with no disrespect to our colleagues with respect to the illness, we have no good showing, we have no note from the doctor. If this illness was chronic, he would have known about it and taken precautionary measures. We have no idea if this will happen again if it's continued.

And just to reiterate, to do this to Jerry is sort of reminiscent of the reason we're here to begin with Warden Pierce, he was declassified so he could come out of solitary. Our contention is the warden vetoed that. And now we're here to have our day in court and the warden is vetoing that again. And we object to the continuance.

THE COURT: While we're still at side-bar, let's see if there are any other questions from plaintiff's counsel that pertain to matters that need to be held at side-bar, then we can move back into open court. Is there any other information?

MR. WAN: This is the e-mail if you want to look at it.

THE COURT: I'm disinclined to look at it if you think there might be privileged communications there,

because I don't want to see it if you can't show it to the other side. But if there is any other information in there that relates to his prognosis or how long he is not likely to attend trial, that would be helpful.

MR. WAN: Your Honor, I can try giving him a call again just to give you more information. I understand the position here. It's tough to get a doctor's note between 4:00 a.m. and 7:00 a.m.

THE COURT: Understood.

MR. WAN: He was in trial just last month, so it's hard for me to say it would happen again, because he was just in trial for three or four days.

THE COURT: Let's move to open court and we'll continue the discussion.

(End of side-bar.)

THE COURT: So here is what I think we should do and then I want to take a recess and we're going to look at if we can get this accomplished. What I propose that we do is that we pick the jury today. I propose we tell the jury that you will come back Friday or Monday for their first day of testimony. I don't know what order the parties intend to present their case. I don't know if plaintiff intended to call Warden Pierce live. I don't know if plaintiff has an objection to going out of order if we need to hear from one of defendant's first witnesses before we hear from Warden

09:25:43 1 09:25:43 2 09:25:43 3 09:25:43 4 09:25:43 5 09:25:43 6 09:25:43 7 09:25:43 8 09:25:43 9 09:25:4310 09:25:4311 09:25:4312 09:25:4313 09:25:4314 09:25:4315

09:25:4316 09:25:4317 09:25:4318 09:25:4319 09:25:4320 09:25:4321

09:25:4322

09:25:4323

09:25:4324

09:25:4325

09:25:43 2 09:25:43 3

09:25:43 1

09:25:43 4 09:25:43 5

09:25:43 6

09:25:43 7 09:25:43 8

09:25:43 9

09:25:4310

09:25:4311

09:25:4312

09:25:4413

09:25:4414

09:25:4415

09:25:4416

09:25:4417

09:25:4418

09:25:4419

09:25:4420

09:25:4421

09:25:4422

09:25:4423

09:25:4424

09:25:4425

Pierce. I don't know if plaintiff would be satisfied with some combination of deposition and live testimony or if the defendant objects to that.

What I do know is that we did have a prior request from Warden Pierce to come and go during the trial, and so to the extent that the state is still satisfied with not having him there for the entire trial period, that's something that I would like to explore whether or not we can have him come in to do his testimony next week.

It's Wednesday morning now, and so Thursday,
Friday, Saturday, Sunday, Monday, that's five days from now
if he testifies Monday. It's six days if he testifies
Tuesday. I don't know what the parties' schedules are, but
I do know that plaintiff is prepared for trial. I know
defense counsel wants to go to trial. I know the parties
have expended a great deal of time and expense getting
ready. And I know that we've got seventy-five people
downstairs, some of whom have driven more than an hour to
get here. And so I think we need to try to work this out.

So what we're going to do is we're going to take a brief recess. The state is going to make all attempts to try to contact Mr. Pierce and see if we can get more details on whether or not he can come in to testify. And the parties are going to work together in the meantime to try to figure out a plan for how we can get this case tried. Okay?

09:25:44 1

Go ahead, Ms. Cline.

09:25:44 2

MS. CLINE: May I be heard?

09:25:44 3

09:25:44 4

09:25:44 5

09:25:44 6

09:25:44 7

09:25:44 8

09:25:44 9

09:25:4410

09:25:4411

09:25:4412

tomorrow.

09:25:4413

09:25:4414

09:25:4415

09:25:4416

09:25:4417

09:25:4418

09:25:4419

09:25:4420

09:25:4421

09:25:4422

09:25:4423

09:25:4424

09:25:4425

intending today to proceed with jury selection, openings, and then we were going to put Jerry on as our first witness. We were then going to do either Mr. Scarborough or deposition testimony. So from our perspective, all of that has got to take all day. And we would like to just

continue, and then tonight we can assess whether we would

of live testimony and see whether he's able to come in

agree to perhaps using Warden Pierce's dep testimony in lieu

Just so everybody has the information, we were

THE COURT: So the only thing I would say about that is this, and maybe after the parties have had a chance to talk together about what their preferences are, then we can see if the Court needs to make a ruling about anything.

What I would like to do if we could and if it makes sense is pick the jury today and then wait until tomorrow, that way by tomorrow for whatever reason we have more information, and if it turns out we do need to reschedule that we wouldn't have gone through a whole day of testimony and put everybody through that. But if that's not what you prefer, talk to each other about that and then I can resolve any disputes.

Any questions about what I'm asking folks to do

09:25:44 1 during the recess? 09:25:45 2 MR. WAN: Not from the state, Your Honor. 09:25:45 3 MS. CLINE: Not from us. THE COURT: Ms. Garfinkel, do we have everyone's 09:25:45 4 contact information or do you know how to get in touch with 09:25:45 5 Ms. Garfinkel? Please let us know. We'll check back in 09:25:45 6 09:25:45 7 with you in about twenty, thirty minutes and just see how things are progressing, and then we'll go from there. 09:25:45 8 09:25:45 9 we do have a number of folks that are waiting around 09:25:4510 upstairs. So if you could move rapidly, I would appreciate 09:25:4511 it. 09:25:4512 MR. WAN: Of course, Your Honor. 09:25:4513 THE COURT: We'll be in recess. 09:25:4514 (A brief recess was taken.) 09:55:5515 THE COURT: Please be seated. 09:56:0216 So who wants to talk first? 09:56:0517 MR. WAN: I got it. Good morning, again, Judge Hall. Kenneth Wan. 09:56:0718 09:56:1219 So we've kind of come to an agreement, but just 09:56:120 to update with Mr. Pierce, I was able to speak to him and he 09:56:2021 was on the upswing now and he's confident he can come in 09:56:2422 tomorrow. 09:56:2423 We also learned that Mr. Szubielski was not 09:56:2824 transferred over today and we're not exactly sure how

quickly he can get here, today, tomorrow, Friday. So our

09:56:3025

09:56:34 1
09:56:40 2
09:56:44 3
09:56:47 4
09:56:51 5
09:56:56 6
09:57:00 7
09:57:03 8
09:57:07 9
09:57:110

that plaintiffs said that Mr. Szubielski didn't need to be present for it. If he can get here this afternoon -- maybe pick one this afternoon if he can get here hopefully and then proceed starting tomorrow with openings and evidence. But I don't know what the position, depending on whether or not Mr. Szubielski can be transported here, but I think the tentative plan is to pick a jury this morning or this afternoon and start tomorrow. And I'll let plaintiff's counsel continue from there.

proposed plan is to maybe pick a jury today. And I believe

THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Cline, please feel free to take your mask off if you're comfortable. You're welcome to keep it on.

MS. CLINE: Thank you. I appreciate that. I agree with what Mr. Wan is saying. We would like to move for an oral motion for a writ if that's at all possible to get Mr. Szubielski here. We don't know how long that would take. The state has been nice enough to grease the skids on the state's side. I know there is coordination with the wardens. If it is possible to get Mr. Szubielski here for jury selection, we would like to wait until this afternoon. If there is no chance of getting transported today, we're okay with picking the jury now and proceeding tomorrow. We think he would like to be here, but if the downside is not proceeding, we would like to proceed and get his day in

09:57:1211
09:57:1512
09:57:1513
09:57:2014
09:57:2015
09:57:2016
09:57:2017
09:57:3017
09:57:3019
09:57:319
09:57:421
09:57:421
09:57:422
09:57:523

09:57:5825

09:58:00 1

court.

09:58:00 2 09:58:02 3 09:58:05 4 09:58:09 5 09:58:12 6 09:58:17 7 09:58:20 8 09:58:24 9 09:58:2910 09:58:3111 09:58:3412 09:58:3913 09:58:4214 09:58:4315 09:58:4616 09:58:4917 09:58:5218 09:58:5519 09:58:5920

09:59:0121

09:59:0422

09:59:0923

09:59:1224

09:59:1525

THE COURT: There is two things that come to mind, so I'll throw it out there and you can see where we're at. The first is that in my experience it's exceedingly unlikely to get somebody here on the same day if you didn't get a writ over. And that's not only because it's an issue getting them released from state custody, but more a matter of having the marshals have a plan for what happens once the prisoner gets to the courthouse. And so I don't pretend to understand all of their operations, but I do know that they're complicated and that's to keep everyone in the courtroom safe as well as make sure that the prisoner is brought over according to regulations. So there is that to keep in mind.

The second thing to keep in mind is that we had discussed at the pretrial conference whether or not he would even be back during the voir dire process. I don't know if you had come to a conclusion about that.

MS. CLINE: Well, so maybe I am misunderstanding the process. We were thinking he would be in the courtroom, but to the extent there needed to be a dialogue in closed quarters, we were okay not doing that knowing the --

THE COURT: I'll tell you how it would normally go. It's little bit different because of COVID. We're going to have twenty-eight folks brought up and they are

09:59:18 1 09:59:21 2 09:59:24 3 09:59:27 4 09:59:32 5 09:59:38 6 09:59:44 7 09:59:48 8

09:59:50 9

09:59:5911 10:00:0212

10:00:0213

09:59:5410

10:00:0614 10:00:0915 10:00:146

10:00:2418

10:00:1917

10:00:2919

10:00:320

10:00:3621

10:00:3922

10:00:4123

10:00:4424

10:00:4825

going to be placed in each one of those green dots you see in the gallery there. The first fourteen are going to be on my left and the last fourteen are going to be on my right. We're going to read all the voir dire on the Court's approved voir dire we had objections to, otherwise no objections, and each of the members of the venire are going to have a pen and circle what they had yes answers to.

At that point in time, we're all going to move back to Judge Burke's jury room and Ms. Garfinkel is going to proceed amongst the venire and ask them if they had yes answers. And if they do, they're going to be brought back there.

So to the extent that weighs in on how much your client wants to be present, I think what he would be missing is us reading the proposed instructions, and then he obviously wasn't going to be back in chambers for that part anyway unless we got some sort of a plan worked out. then once we come back into the courtroom, the preemptory challenge process is a process of Ms. Garfinkel going back and forth from counsel table with a clipboard when you strike whoever you want to strike. That's what it is.

I don't know if you need a few minutes to discuss with co-counsel, but in the meantime while you're doing that, I'm going to talk to Ms. Garfinkel about the logistics of getting somebody writed over.

10:00:52 1 10:00:54 2 10:00:59 3 10:01:04 4 10:01:05 5 10:01:06 6 10:01:10 7 10:01:14 8 10:01:16 9 10:02:3410 10:02:3411 10:02:3712 10:02:4013 10:02:4214 10:02:4715 10:02:5016 10:02:5017 10:02:5218 10:02:5619 10:02:5920 10:03:0321 10:03:0522 10:03:0923 10:03:1324 10:03:1725

MR. WAN: I understand traditionally plaintiffs are over on this side and defendants are over there when you have an incarcerated person come over. I don't know what the Court's preference is.

THE COURT: That's one of those things that we'll ask the marshals once we know we got a guy coming over for trial, which I don't think they did. But we'll keep that in mind.

Let me just consult with Ms. Garfinkel.

(Pause.)

Ms. Cline.

MS. CLINE: So let me try to answer the Court's question. In light of the logistics and the way it's going to work including with the CO hovering over Jerry, we waive the right to have him back when we're questioning the jurors.

And I guess the remaining question mark is neither side has been able to obtain a list of prospective jurors from jury administration. If the Court could facilitate that, we would like to get a look at the list.

THE COURT: Absolutely. Okay. So we have got a few things we're working on here. So the first thing is that your oral motion for a writ is granted. We'll make that out and fill it out. We'll get it sent over. It's not entirely clear to me that we could get him here even

tomorrow morning, and so we're going to take a brief recess and figure out if that's even possible to get him here tomorrow. If not, we'll plan to move forward on Friday.

And then we're going to get an answer, because I would like to have an answer of when the jurors need to appear for opening statements and the reason why, part of the voir dire is going to be asking them about their availability. And if we got folks that are leaving Wednesday for their beach vacation, we need to know that so we can make sure we don't call someone, we need to know when we're going to start, basically.

Anything else we need to address right this second before we take a brief recess to get an answer to when we can get him over here?

MR. WAN: Not from the defense.

MS. CLINE: Not from us, either.

THE COURT: Very good. We'll be in a brief recess.

(A brief recess was taken.)

THE COURT: Please be seated.

Okay. So it sounds like we got some of the issues worked out. So my understanding how we're going to proceed is we're going to go ahead and try to pick a jury today before lunch. And then depending on what time it is, we can either let the jury go to lunch and come back and

10:03:20 1 10:03:24 2

10:03:35 4

10:03:28 3

10:03:38 5 10:03:41 6

10:03:46 7

10:03:48 8

10:03:51 9

10:03:5410

10:03:5811

10:04:012

10:04:0413

10:04:0814

10:04:1015

10:04:1216

10:04:1317

10:04:1718

10:04:1719

10:45:020

10:46:2921

10:46:3322

10:46:3823

10:46:4224

10:46:4725

read the preliminary jury instructions or we can ask them if they want to just plow through and do the preliminary jury instructions and then we'll cut them loose.

I do understand there is some possibility of getting the plaintiff here today. I am disinclined to get started today for a couple of reasons. One is that it's already getting to be late in the morning, so the chances of getting a big chunk of testimony in today are rapidly diminishing because we still do have to read the preliminary jury instructions.

And the other thing is that I'm worried about if there is another snafu that we don't have the jury sitting around waiting. So I would like to cut them loose if there is a possibility to cut them loose. I don't think it's right given that we've had them here waiting all morning.

Does anyone have anything they want to say for the record about anything I have said so far?

MS. CLINE: We're okay with that, Your Honor.

Thank you. THE COURT:

MR. WAN: We have no objection to that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Very good. There is only one other housekeeping matter I want to talk to you about before I bring the jury in. I'm not sure if Judge Andrews discussed with you at the first pretrial conference that we had sent

10:46:50 1 10:46:54 2 10:46:58 3 10:47:00 4 10:47:02 5 10:47:08 6 10:47:11 7 10:47:14 8 10:47:18 9 10:47:2310 10:47:2411

10:47:2712 10:47:3113 10:47:3414 10:47:3615 10:47:4116

10:47:4317 10:47:4818

10:47:5019

10:47:5020

10:47:5321

10:47:5523

10:47:5322

10:47:5924

10:48:0325

10:48:06 1 10:48:11 2 10:48:14 3 10:48:19 4 out a COVID questionnaire to this venire and it has a number of questions, including whether or not the juror has been vaccinated and whether they have any health concerns about themselves or their family members related to this COVID 19 pandemic.

We took a look at those questionnaires and we did excuse a couple of jurors before today. They're not going to be on the list that you have in front of you right now because they have already been excused, but I can tell you that we've excused jurors if they said that they had symptoms in the last two weeks. We excused jurors who indicated that they had family members that were high risk or needed to be supervised at home. We also excused one potential juror because that juror indicated that they were not vaccinated and did not intend to wear a mask. And given some concerns that have been raised by other jurors that were selected about that they didn't have an issue unless there were unvaccinated people without masks, we thought the best was to excuse that juror.

Does anyone have any questions?

MR. WAN: Not from the defense.

MS. CLINE: Not from us.

THE COURT: Okay. So we'll hear about any further COVID concerns today when we bring the jury back for the follow-up voir dire questioning and we -- before if

10:48:23 5 10:48:27 6 10:48:30 7 10:48:37 8 10:48:39 9 10:48:4410 10:48:4811 10:48:5212 10:48:5613 10:49:0114 10:49:0515 10:49:1116 10:49:1617 10:49:2018 10:49:2419

10:49:2920

10:49:3121

10:49:3222

10:49:3323

10:49:3624

10:49:4025

10:49:44 1 10:49:47 2 10:49:51 3 10:49:56 4 10:49:57 5 10:49:58 6 10:50:01 7 10:50:04 8 10:50:09 9 10:50:1210 10:50:1611 10:50:2012 10:50:2513 10:50:2614 10:50:3215 10:50:3316 10:50:3817 10:50:4418 10:50:4719 10:50:5020 10:50:5221 10:50:5422 10:50:523 10:51:0024

10:51:0325

there are no objections we're going to move forward with the way that we've discussed.

With that, I'll ask Ms. Garfinkel to bring in the venire.

Any questions, Mr. Wan?

MR. WAN: Your Honor, I had two kind of quick housekeeping matters for Mr. Pierce. One thing was we were trying to get him in one day. I think the plaintiffs may be able to accommodate that. One question I had was to facilitate getting him in and out in one day, would it be okay after they finished their direct when we call him in our case in chief I just do my questions with him?

THE COURT: If there is no objection from the other side.

MS. CLINE: No objection.

THE COURT: Okay. And what I am going to say is that I'll reserve ruling on that. Let's get the jury selected and then after we cut them loose for today, then we can deal with any other matters that we need to deal with before the testimony begins.

Is there anything else we need to deal with today that has to do with the jury selection process?

MS. CLINE: Well, one question which may or may not directly relate. There is some confusion around where we're supposed to sit. Obviously we would like to sit near

10:51:07 1 the jury. Obviously there is some concern with the marshals 10:51:10 2 need to be over there. I don't know if it would be weird 10:51:14 3 for the jury if we switched between today and tomorrow. That's a good question. 10:51:16 4 THE COURT: 10:51:18 5 Unfortunately I don't have a sense right now given the way 10:51:21 6 things have played out this morning about what the logistics 10:51:25 7 are going to be. Are you inclined to want to switch now if 10:51:30 8 you're going to switch? 10:51:35 9 MS. CLINE: I think we would rather stay here 10:51:3710 for now. THE COURT: All right. I can tell them that 10:51:3711 10:51:4012 maybe -- I could give them tomorrow if it turns out we do need to switch, you all can agree on what I am going to say 10:51:4413 10:51:4814 to them if you like. 10:51:5015 MS. CLINE: Thank you, Your Honor. 10:51:5016 THE COURT: With that I'll ask Ms. Garfinkel to 10:51:5417 bring in the venire. So one thing I should say about the voir dire is 10:52:2618 10:52:2919 I modified it very slightly to indicate that we expect to be 10:52:3320 here no later than Monday afternoon as opposed to Friday 10:52:321 afternoon. Any objection to that change? 10:52:3822 MS. CLINE: Not from us. 10:52:4023 MR. WAN: I would say no, Your Honor. 10:52:4224 Can we swing our chairs around so the venire is going to be behind us? How would the Court like us to 10:52:4525

proceed?

THE COURT: Most of this is going to be me reading from the sheet, so it's fine if you want to face them when it's your turn to introduce yourself, I think it would be fine to spin around.

The other thing I would say while we're waiting for prospective jurors to get here, it might make sense if you just want to lower your mask when you introduce yourself or when we get to the part where I'm introducing you so they can see your face and see if you're somebody that they recognize. We can discuss the logistics of mask wearing this afternoon after we get the jurors sworn in and we can talk about what makes the most sense because I think it might depend on who is part of the panel.

(Jury panel entered the courtroom at 11:03 a.m.)

THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. A special welcome to the members of our jury pool. I am Judge Hall. I am a judge here in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware. I want to begin by thanking all of you for taking time from the schedule and from your lives to be here and to be available to do the important service that we all share as citizens, possibly serving on a jury. I want to apologize for the delay in getting you up here today.

I will explain to you in a moment in some detail

10:52:49 1 10:52:49 2 10:52:51 3 10:52:55 4 10:52:59 5 10:53:09 6 10:53:12 7 10:53:16 8 10:53:20 9 10:53:2510 10:53:2711 10:53:3112 10:53:3413 10:53:3914 11:03:4515 11:04:0716 11:04:4317 11:04:4818

11:04:5119

11:04:520

11:04:5921

11:05:0522

11:05:1123

11:05:1424

11:05:1525

11:05:17 1 how we're going to select the jury for the case that I am 11:05:20 2 presiding over. With your cooperation, we expect this process to be done before lunchtime. And I will explain 11:05:24 3 further in just a moment, but the next step is for my 11:05:28 4 courtroom deputy, Ms. Garfinkel, to administer an oath. 11:05:32 5 11:05:36 6 Please proceed. 11:05:38 7 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Members of the jury panel, will you please rise and raise your right hand. You and 11:05:41 8 11:05:48 9 each of you do solemnly swear, those of you who swear, and 11:05:5210 you and each of you do affirm, those of you who affirm, that you will true answer make to such questions as may be asked 11:05:5511 11:05:5812 you touching the matter now before the Court, so help you God, those of you who swear, and you do so affirm, those of 11:06:0213 11:06:0514 you who affirm.

The proper response is I do.

JURY PANEL: I do.

11:06:0715

11:06:0916

11:06:1017

11:06:1318

11:06:1319

11:06:1520

11:06:1921

11:06:2322

11:06:2723

11:06:2924

11:06:3125

COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you. You may be seated.

THE COURT: So I think you should have all been handed a copy of the document entitled Voir Dire. I am going to read that document now. If you like, you can just listen to me read it or if you want, you can follow along, whichever way you learn best.

Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. I am Judge Hall. We are going to select a jury in a civil case called 11:06:35 1 Gerald Szubielski versus David Pierce.

11:06:39 2

11:06:42 3

11:06:47 4

11:06:51 5

11:06:57 6

11:06:58 7

11:07:02 8

11:07:06 9

11:07:1010

11:07:1311

11:07:1512

11:07:1913

11:07:2214

11:07:2615

11:07:3016

11:07:317

11:07:3718

11:07:4019

11:07:5120

11:07:5721

11:08:0422

11:08:023

11:08:1424

11:08:1725

This is a case arising under the First Amendment of the Constitution of the United States alleging that

Defendant David Pierce retaliated against Plaintiff Gerard

Szubielski for participating in a lawsuit against James T.

Vaughn Correctional Center while Plaintiff Szubielski was an inmate there and Defendant Pierce was the Warden.

Those of you selected to serve as jurors will receive more detailed instructions once you are sworn in as jurors and again at the conclusion of the trial.

The trial will begin tomorrow morning and is expected to take up to two-and-a-half business days to try, meaning we expect to be completed no later than Monday afternoon. Jury deliberations could extend your service beyond that. The schedule that I expect to keep over the days of evidence presentation will include a morning break of fifteen minutes, a lunch break of one hour, and an afternoon break of fifteen minutes. We will start at 9:30 a.m. and finish no later than 5:00 p.m. each day.

In light of this brief summary, I will ask you certain questions, the purpose of which is to (1) enable the Court to determine whether or not any prospective juror should be excused for cause; and (2) enable counsel for the parties to exercise their individual judgment with respect to preemptory challenges, that is, challenges for which no

11:08:22 1

11:08:24 2

11:08:27 3

11:08:33 4

11:08:36 5

11:08:41 6

11:08:44 7

11:08:48 8

11:08:50 9

11:08:5410

11:08:5711

11:09:0212

11:09:0713

11:09:1114

11:09:1515

11:09:1916

11:09:2517

11:09:2818

11:09:2819

11:09:3020

11:09:3421

11:09:3722

11:09:3823

11:09:4224

11:09:4525

reason need be given by counsel.

All of the questions I am about to read to you are stated in a "yes/no" format. It is not necessary at this time for you to respond to my questions in any way as I read them. If you have a "yes" answer to any of my questions, I ask that you either remember for which questions you have a "yes" answer or use the pen provided to keep track.

At that point I will move to the jury room, along with some of the lawyers and the court reporter.

Meanwhile, my deputy will move among the prospective jurors in the courtroom and ask them if they had a "yes" answer to any of my questions. A juror may be brought into the jury room to talk to me and the lawyers. After we speak with you, my deputy or I will then tell you whether to go back to the benches. Once we are done speaking to as many jurors as necessary, I will explain the remaining steps of the jury selection process.

The questions are as follows:

- 1. Does the length of this trial or the schedule contemplated by the court present a special problem for you?
- 2. Do you have any special disability or problem that would make serving as a member of the jury difficult or impossible?

11:09:46 1	3. Have you or any member of your immediate
11:09:51 2	family (spouse, child, parent or sibling) ever been employed
11:10:00 3	by the Delaware Department of Correction?
11:10:02 4	4. Have you or any member of your immediate
11:10:04 5	family ever been incarcerated at the James T. Vaughn
11:10:12 6	Correctional Center?
11:10:12 7	5. Do you have any prior experience as a
11:10:16 8	correctional officer or working in a prison?
11:10:19 9	6. Have you had any experience with the
11:10:2110	Delaware Department of Correction or any relationships with
11:10:2511	Delaware Department of Correction employees or inmates that
11:10:2812	would make it difficult for you to be a fair and impartial
11:10:3213	juror in this case?
11:10:3414	7. Do you or any member of your immediate
11:10:3715	family have experience as a law enforcement officer?
11:10:4116	8. I'll now ask the lawyers to stand and face
11:10:4517	the venire while I tell you who the lawyers are and who they
11:10:5018	are associated with.
11:10:5119	For plaintiff we have:
11:10:5420	Courtney A. Munnings - Troutman Pepper Hamilton
11:11:0221	Sanders LLP.
11:11:0322	Karli E. Cozen - Troutman Pepper Hamilton
11:11:1023	Sanders LLP.
11:11:124	Also representing plaintiff are Nicole Crossey,
11:11:1725	Joanna Cline, and Laurence Shiekman of Troutman Pepper

11:11:25 1 11:11:28 2 11:11:31 3 11:11:33 4 11:11:37 5 11:11:38 6 11:11:43 7 11:11:45 8 11:11:49 9 11:11:5010 11:11:5411 11:11:5912 11:12:0213 11:12:0614 11:12:115 11:12:1116 11:12:1317 11:12:1918 11:12:2419 11:12:2820 11:12:3121 11:12:3522 11:12:3723 11:12:4124 11:12:4325

Hamilton Sanders LLP, who will be assisting at trial, and Michael Biek who will be assisting today.

For defendant we have:

Kenneth Wan - Department of Justice for the State of Delaware.

Allison McCowan - Department of Justice for the State of Delaware.

Rebecca Song - Department of Justice for the State of Delaware.

Do you or any of your immediate family know of any of the attorneys or the law firm that has just been named, or, have you or your immediate family had any business dealings with, or been employed by, any of these attorneys or their law firms or the Delaware Department of Justice?

- 9. Have you heard or read anything about this case?
 - 10. Do you know Mr. Szubielski or Mr. Pierce?
- 11. Even if you do not personally know

 Mr. Szubielski or Mr. Pierce, have you heard anything about
 them that might make it difficult for you to be a fair and
 impartial juror in this case?
 - 12. The potential witnesses in this case are:
 Gerard Szubielski.

David Pierce.

11:12:45 1 Carla Miller. 11:12:47 2 James Scarborough. 11:12:49 3 Shane Troxler. 11:12:52 4 Awele Maduka-Ezeh. 11:12:56 5 Aileen Fink. 11:12:58 6 Michelle Roberts. 11:13:00 7 Philip Parker. 11:13:02 8 Are you familiar with any of these potential 11:13:05 9 witnesses? 11:13:040 13. As I mentioned before, Mr. Szubielski 11:13:0911 claims that Mr. Pierce retaliated against him because of 11:13:13.2 Mr. Szubielski's involvement in an earlier lawsuit brought 11:13:1813 by the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) and the 11:13:2314 Delaware Community Legal Aid Society (CLASI). Do you have a 11:13:3115 strong opinion, positive or negative, about the ACLU or 11:13:3616 CLASI? 11:13:3817 14. If you find that Mr. Pierce violated Mr. Szubielski's constitutional rights, would you have any 11:13:4118 11:13:4419 difficulty in awarding money damages to compensate 11:13:4&0 Mr. Szubielski? 11:13:5021 **15**. Have you ever served as a juror in a case within the last fifteen years or so? 11:13:5422 11:13:5723 Do you have any prior experience with 11:14:0024 litigation that might make it difficult for you to be a fair and impartial juror in this case? 11:14:0425

11:14:07 1 11:14:11 2 11:14:15 3 11:14:19 4 11:14:21 5 11:14:25 6 11:14:29 7 11:14:34 8 11:14:38 9 11:14:4110 11:14:4511 11:14:5112 11:14:5613 11:15:1014 11:15:1415 11:15:2016 11:15:2317 11:15:3318 12:43:3519 12:43:3520 12:43:3521 12:43:3522 12:43:3523 12:43:3524 12:43:3525

17. If you are selected to sit as a juror in this case, are you aware of any reason why you would be unable to render a verdict based solely on the evidence presented at trial?

18. If you are selected to sit as a juror in this case, are you aware of any reason why you would not be able to follow the law as I give it to you?

This is the last question.

19. Is there anything else, including something you have remembered in connection with one of the earlier questions, that you think you would like to tell me in connection with your service as a juror in this case?

Those are all the questions. As I mentioned, at this time I am going to move into the jury room with some of the attorneys for the case and we may ask some of you to come back into the jury room to talk to you about your answers to the questions.

COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise.

(Jury room voir dire questioning:)

THE COURT: So we're now in the jury room ready to do the follow-up voir dire questioning. So the way this is going to work again is that Ms. Garfinkel is going to bring in the jurors one at a time. They're going to stand out in the hall while she comes in and tells us the name of the juror we have and which question they answered yes to.

12:43:35 1 Is that how we're going to do it? 12:43:35 2 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes. 12:43:35 3 THE COURT: Let's do it that way and then if there is also an issue that may have come up on their Covid 12:43:35 4 questionnaire we can catch, we'll deal with that as well. 12:43:35 5 12:43:35 6 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Your Honor, we have juror 12:43:35 7 number 2, Matthew Presley. Answered yes to questions 1, 4 and 8. 12:43:35 8 12:43:35 9 THE COURT: Ms. Garfinkel, did we lose juror 12:43:3510 number 1? 12:43:3511 COURTROOM DEPUTY: She didn't have any yes responses and there was nothing on the questionnaire that I 12:43:3512 12:43:3513 noticed. 12:43:3514 THE COURT: Should we let who them know juror 12:43:3515 number 1 is at this point? 12:43:3516 COURTROOM DEPUTY: I can. 12:43:3517 THE COURT: I think we should. 12:43:3518 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Juror number 1 is Carla Cubbage. 12:43:3519 12:43:3520 THE COURT: Okay. Are we ready to bring in 12:43:3521 juror number 2? (Juror entering the room.) 12:43:3522 12:43:3523 THE COURT: We'll have you stand outside just 12:43:3624 for a second, sir. I apologize. 12:43:3625 MS. MUNNINGS: So I have a question about

12:43:36 1 whether we -- when there are no yeses, whether we follow-up 12:43:36 2 about any --12:43:36 3 THE COURT: So if we have no yes answers to the voir dire, that's going to be the first member of our group 12:43:36 4 12:43:36 5 of 14. So we have Ms. Cubbage as juror number 1, so once we get to 14 that either have no yes answers or that have yes 12:43:36 6 12:43:36 7 answers but are not excused for cause, then we'll stop. 12:43:36 8 MS. MUNNINGS: So occupations are empty on here. 12:43:36 9 Do we get to talk to them about that, or no? 12:43:3610 THE COURT: That's not traditionally how we do 12:43:3611 it. Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. 12:43:3612 MS. MUNNINGS: 12:43:3613 (Juror entering the room.) 12:43:3614 THE COURT: Thanks for your patience. 12:43:3615 A JUROR: No problem. 12:43:3616 THE COURT: You are juror number 2? 12:43:3617 A JUROR: Correct. 12:43:3618 That makes you Mr. Presley. 12:43:3619 A JUROR: Yes, I am. 12:43:320 THE COURT: Thank you for coming in today, sir. 12:43:321 I understand that you answered yes to question number one which ask about the length of the trial, that's going to be 12:43:3622 12:43:3623 a special problem for you. Could you tell us a little more about that. 12:43:3624 12:43:3625 A JUROR: It has to do with my work.

12:43:36 1	only one capable of my job at work. I work at the DuPont
12:43:36 2	administration.
12:43:36 3	THE COURT: Does your employer know you are here
12:43:36 4	today?
12:43:36 5	A JUROR: Yes.
12:43:36 6	THE COURT: Have they expressed a reluctance to
12:43:36 7	let you serve as a juror?
12:43:36 8	A JUROR: No, they said go, provide proof that
12:43:36 9	you did it, that's all.
12:43:3610	THE COURT: So is it going to be a hardship for
12:43:3611	you or for your employer to be here?
12:43:3612	A JUROR: Both.
12:43:3613	THE COURT: Why would it be a hardship for you,
12:43:3614	sir?
12:43:3615	A JUROR: I work nights, I have been up since
12:43:3616	11 o'clock last night and I'm fading pretty hard just to be
12:43:3617	honest with you.
12:43:3618	THE COURT: I'm going to ask to you step
12:43:3619	outside.
12:43:320	(Juror left the jury room.)
12:43:3@1	THE COURT: So folks, I am inclined to let this
12:43:3622	juror go for cause. I tend to not seat jurors who work
12:43:3623	nights. I think it is challenging for them to listen to the
12:43:3624	testimony.
12:43:325	Is there any objection to that from the

12:43:36 1	plaintiff?
12:43:36 2	MS. MUNNINGS: No.
12:43:36 3	THE COURT: Any objection?
12:43:36 4	MR. WAN: No, Your Honor.
12:43:36 5	THE COURT: Juror number 2 may be excused for
12:43:36 6	cause.
12:43:36 7	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Your Honor, may I have him
12:43:36 8	leave the courtroom?
12:43:36 9	THE COURT: Yes. Let him out the back way.
12:43:3610	Thank you. So normally you would know which jurors had no
12:43:3611	yeses because you would have seen them all get drawn, but
12:43:3612	because we're doing this a little bit different today with
12:43:3613	the random number generator, we'll let you know which jurors
12:43:3614	don't have the yes answers so you can know where we are in
12:43:3615	terms of filling out the box.
12:43:3616	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Ready for the next juror?
12:43:3717	THE COURT: Yes.
12:43:3718	I can tell you the next person she's talking to
12:43:3719	is juror number 3, Amy Tracy.
12:43:3720	COURTROOM DEPUTY: We have juror number 3, Amy
12:43:3721	Tracy, responded yes to questions 3 and 6.
12:43:3722	(Juror entering the room.)
12:43:3723	THE COURT: Please have a seat. You're juror
12:43:3724	number 3, is that right?
12:43:3725	A JUROR: Yes.

12:43:37 1 THE COURT: That makes you Amy Tracy; is that 12:43:37 2 right? A JUROR: Yes. 12:43:37 3 I understand you answered yes to 12:43:37 4 THE COURT: question number 3 which ask have you or any member of your 12:43:37 5 12:43:37 6 immediate family ever been employed by the Delaware Department of Corrections. Can you tell us about that? 12:43:37 7 12:43:37 8 A JUROR: I answered 6 because 6 related to, I have a brother-in-law and nephew who both work for the 12:43:37 9 12:43:3710 Department of Corrections. THE COURT: Do they both work at the prison? 12:43:3711 12:43:3712 A JUROR: One works up here and the other works 12:43:3713 in Smyrna, not inside, he was outside, and --12:43:3714 THE COURT: Okay. So the brother-in-law that 12:43:3715 works in Smyrna doesn't work with any of the prisoners who 12:43:3716 are in the secured facility? 12:43:3717 A JUROR: No. 12:43:3718 THE COURT: Do you have a relationship with your 12:43:3719 family members who work for the Department of Correction and 12:43:3720 in your view affect your ability to be a fair and impartial 12:43:3721 juror in this case? A JUROR: I'm not sure. 12:43:3722 12:43:3723 THE COURT: What do you mean by that? 12:43:3724 A JUROR: I mean, I don't think so. THE COURT: You don't think so? 12:43:3725

12:43:37 1	A JUROR: No.
12:43:37 2	THE COURT: Okay. Let me have questions from
12:43:37 3	counsel for the plaintiff, if you have any.
12:43:37 4	MS. COZEN: Do either of your relatives interact
12:43:37 5	with prison administration at all?
12:43:37 6	A JUROR: I don't know.
12:43:37 7	MS. COZEN: Or inmates at all?
12:43:37 8	A JUROR: I believe so.
12:43:37 9	MS. COZEN: Do they feel positively about their
12:43:3710	jobs?
12:43:3711	A JUROR: Yes, they don't really talk about
12:43:3712	their jobs.
12:43:3713	MS. COZEN: Would it be difficult for you to
12:43:3714	find a verdict against the DOC or someone who works at the
12:43:3715	DOC?
12:43:3716	A JUROR: If the evidence shows that that's what
12:43:3717	it would be.
12:43:3718	MS. COZEN: No other questions, Your Honor.
12:43:3719	THE COURT: Okay.
12:43:3720	MR. WAN: Good morning, Ms. Tracy. I know you
12:43:3721	say you don't talk much, but do they tell you, your
12:43:3722	brother-in-law or nephew, do they tell you any stories about
12:43:3723	their work or anything like that?
12:43:3724	A JUROR: No.
12:43:3725	MR. WAN: Would they ever speak with any

12:43:37 1	specific inmates or anything like that?
12:43:37 2	A JUROR: No.
12:43:37 3	MR. WAN: Okay.
12:43:37 4	THE COURT: We'll have you step outside for a
12:43:37 5	minute, ma'am.
12:43:37 6	(Juror leaving the room.)
12:43:37 7	THE COURT: Any applications?
12:43:37 8	MS. COZEN: No, Your Honor.
12:43:38 9	MR. WAN: No, Your Honor.
12:43:3810	MS. COZEN: Your Honor, would Amy Tracy be
12:43:3811	number 2?
12:43:3812	THE COURT: Number 2. And we'll go until we get
12:43:3813	to number 14.
12:43:3814	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Your Honor, I have juror
12:43:3815	number 4, Michael Whittaker. Possible yes answer to 1, 7,
12:43:3816	and 15.
12:43:3817	THE COURT: Okay.
12:43:3818	(Juror entering the room.)
12:43:3819	THE COURT: Please have a seat, sir. You are
12:43:3&0	juror number 4; is that right.
12:43:3&1	A JUROR: That's right.
12:43:3&2	THE COURT: That would make you Mr. Whittaker?
12:43:3&3	A JUROR: Yes, ma'am.
12:43:3&24	THE COURT: I understand you had a yes answer to
12:43:3&25	question number 1 which asked if the length of the trial or

12:43:38 1 the schedule presented a special problem for you. A JUROR: I said that was a possible yes, yes. 12:43:38 2 12:43:38 3 THE COURT: Can you tell us about that. 12:43:38 4 A JUROR: Sure. I have a lot going on at work. 12:43:38 5 My children -- I have been divorced about a year. 12:43:38 6 children come back to me Friday morning which normally 12:43:38 7 wouldn't be an issue, but my son, who is sixteen, would normally be there to watch her, but his birthday is Thursday 12:43:38 8 12:43:38 9 so he's going to be golfing, so I have a ten-year old that I 12:43:3810 need child care for. My normal baby-sitter is unfortunately 12:43:3811 in the hospital. Just a lot. 12:43:3812 THE COURT: Sorry to hear that. So if we went 12:43:3813 on Friday, that would be an issue. 12:43:3814 Let's talk to you about question number 7 which 12:43:3815 asked if you or any member of your immediate family have 12:43:3816 experiences with law enforcement officer. 12:43:3817 A JUROR: My sister is an FBI agent. 12:43:3818 THE COURT: Is that here in Delaware. 12:43:3819 A JUROR: No, she lives in Miami now. Well, 12:43:3820 north of Miami. 12:43:3821 THE COURT: Does your relationship with your sister as a law enforcement agent in your mind make it so 12:43:3822 12:43:3823 that you would be unable to render a fair and impartial verdict in the case? 12:43:3824 12:43:3825 A JUROR: No, not at all.

12:43:38 1 THE COURT: Okay. And let me ask you about 12:43:38 2 question number 15. Have you ever served as a juror in a case within the last fifteen years or so? 12:43:38 3 12:43:38 4 A JUROR: Yeah, I think that's technically 12:43:38 5 correct. I was selected as a juror. It's probably been three years, state court. And we sat as a jury but the 12:43:38 6 12:43:38 7 first morning of trial they settled. It was done at that point. We were sworn in and everything. 12:43:38 8 12:43:38 9 THE COURT: You didn't actually deliberate in 12:43:3810 that case? 12:43:3811 A JUROR: Right. 12:43:3812 THE COURT: I'm going to ask you to step outside 12:43:3813 for just a minute and if we have some more questions we'll 12:43:3814 bring you back in. 12:43:3815 (Juror leaving the room.) 12:43:3816 THE COURT: So I am inclined to excuse this 12:43:3917 juror because of his child care issues, but if you like or if someone objects, we can bring him back to question him 12:43:3918 12:43:3919 further. I'll give you a minute. 12:43:3920 MS. MUNNINGS: We would object. 12:43:3921 THE COURT: You do object? MR. WAN: We didn't. 12:43:3922 12:43:3923 THE COURT: Let's bring him back for some further questions. Thank you. 12:43:3924 12:43:3925 (Juror entering the room.)

12:43:39 1	I'm going to give the parties a chance to ask
12:43:39 2	you some further questions. We'll start with counsel for
12:43:39 3	plaintiff.
12:43:39 4	MS. MUNNINGS: So was the child care issue, is
12:43:39 5	it likely that you would be able to find someone?
12:43:39 6	A JUROR: I can certainly ask my ex-wife if she
12:43:39 7	can keep my daughter on Friday. I prefer not to do that,
12:43:39 8	but if I have to do that, I can do that.
12:43:39 9	THE COURT: Any other questions?
12:43:3910	MS. MUNNINGS: No.
12:43:3911	THE COURT: Any questions from counsel for the
12:43:3912	defendant?
12:43:3913	MR. WAN: No.
12:43:3914	THE COURT: We'll have you step outside for one
12:43:3915	more minute, sir. Thank you.
12:43:3916	(Juror leaving the room.)
12:43:3917	THE COURT: Any applications?
12:43:3918	We'll seat this as juror number 3 since he did
12:43:3919	indicate in follow-up questioning that it would be possible
12:43:320	for him to serve.
12:43:3921	The next juror on the list that I believe
12:43:3922	Ms. Garfinkel will be talking to is Michelle D. Crocker.
12:43:3923	COURTROOM DEPUTY: The next juror is juror
12:43:3924	number 6. First name Venkatesan, last name Ranganathan.
12:43:3925	THE COURT: Just for purposes of the record,

12:43:39 1	juror number 5 was Michelle D. Crocker; is that right?
12:43:39 2	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes.
12:43:39 3	THE COURT: And there were no yes answers?
12:43:39 4	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes.
12:43:39 5	MR. WAN: Who is number 6 again?
12:43:39 6	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Last name Ranganathan,
12:43:39 7	R-A-N-G-A-N-A-T-H-A-N.
12:43:39 8	MR. WAN: Got you. Thank you.
12:43:39 9	COURTROOM DEPUTY: And responded yes to question
12:43:3910	1?
12:43:3911	THE COURT: One.
12:43:3912	(Juror entering the room.)
12:43:3913	THE COURT: Please have a seat. You are juror
12:43:3914	number 6; is that right?
12:43:3915	A JUROR: Yes.
12:43:3916	THE COURT: That would make you Mr. Ranganathan?
12:43:3917	A JUROR: Right.
12:43:3918	THE COURT: Did I say that right?
12:43:3919	A JUROR: Close.
12:43:3920	THE COURT: You answered yes to question $1;$ is
12:43:3921	that right?
12:43:3922	A JUROR: Yes.
12:43:3923	THE COURT: And that ask if the length of the
12:43:3924	trial or the schedule presented a special problem for you.
12:43:3925	Could you tell us about that.

12:43:39 1 12:43:39 2 12:43:39 3 12:43:40 4 12:43:40 5 12:43:40 6 12:43:40 7 12:43:40 8 12:43:40 9 12:43:4010 12:43:4011 12:43:4012 12:43:4013 12:43:4014 12:43:4015 12:43:4016 12:43:4017 12:43:4018 12:43:4019 12:43:4020 12:43:4021 12:43:4022 12:43:4023 12:43:4024 12:43:4025

A JUROR: Yes. So the deliberation part, the length of trial is fine, I can do that, but I got a couple of things, the work schedule will not allow me for extended periods of time. I have a family coming the week after next. And then the third aspect is I have been found I am a match for a stem cell donor, so that potentially the testing will start next week for that. I was wondering if the deliberations are going to take more than a couple of hours or something like that, or more than a couple of days, that might get pushed out, and if they found the donor, it's actually very important. So it's really not the length of trial itself, up to Monday is fine, but the deliberations will probably be a problem.

THE COURT: So do you have a sense of when you might get called for the donation?

A JUROR: We have a meeting today, this evening, I would know more about that, but potentially sometime next week, midweek.

THE COURT: Midweek. And would they let you know the night before or could you be potentially called in the middle to rush over?

A JUROR: I think they would probably let me know the day earlier.

THE COURT: And then you had family coming the week after next week; is that right?

12:43:40 1	A JUROR: Yes.
12:43:40 2	THE COURT: And you also mentioned a work issue?
12:43:40 3	A JUROR: Yes.
12:43:40 4	THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
12:43:40 5	A JUROR: I work for TD Bank in their computer
12:43:40 6	department, so getting out for extended periods is a
12:43:40 7	problem.
12:43:40 8	THE COURT: It wouldn't be good for your
12:43:40 9	employer?
12:43:4010	A JUROR: Yes.
12:43:4011	THE COURT: Any questions from counsel for
12:43:4012	plaintiff?
12:43:4013	MS. COZEN: Does your employer know you're here
12:43:4014	today?
12:43:4015	A JUROR: Yes.
12:43:4016	MS. COZEN: And they're okay with it?
12:43:4017	No additional questions from plaintiff.
12:43:4018	THE COURT: Any questions from the defendant?
12:43:4019	MR. WAN: No, Your Honor.
12:43:4020	THE COURT: We're going to ask you, sir, just to
12:43:4021	stand out in the hall just for a minute.
12:43:4022	A JUROR: Sure.
12:43:4023	THE COURT: Thank you.
12:43:4024	(Juror leaving the room.)
12:43:4025	THE COURT: Any applications?

12:43:40 1 MS. COZEN: No, Your Honor. 12:43:40 2 MR. WAN: No, Your Honor. 12:43:40 3 THE COURT: So this juror expressed a concern if the deliberations would be lengthy. It sounds like he's 12:43:40 4 worried if they were to go for more than a day or so, which 12:43:40 5 12:43:40 6 I don't think we're anticipating happening. I also think if 12:43:40 7 we lost him, we would still have a full panel. So I am 12:43:40 8 inclined to not excuse this juror. I didn't see any undue 12:43:40 9 hardship here within the meaning of the rule. So we'll have 12:43:4010 that juror put as number 5. The next person I have on my list is Vera Brown. 12:43:4011 12:43:4012 It should be juror number 7. 12:43:4013 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Your Honor, juror number 7, 12:43:4014 Vera Brown has no yes responses. 12:43:4015 Juror number 8, Tyler, I'll spell the last name, 12:43:4116 P-H-O-M-M-A-C-H-A-N-H, also had all no responses. 12:43:4117 And juror number 9, Catherine Fridell, no 12:43:4118 responses. 12:43:4119 MS. COZEN: I'm sorry, could you repeat that 12:43:4120 name? 12:43:4121 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Catherine Fridell, 12:43:4122 F-R-I-D-E-L-L. 12:43:4123 MS. COZEN: Thank you. 12:43:4124 THE COURT: By my count, that makes eight so 12:43:4125 far.

12:43:41 1 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Correct, Your Honor. 12:43:41 2 We have juror number 10 outside, Valerie Hogan. 12:43:41 3 Yes responses to questions 2, 4, 17, and there are also some concerns on her Covid questionnaire. 12:43:41 4 12:43:41 5 THE COURT: Do you have a copy of that? 12:43:41 6 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes, I do. 12:43:41 7 THE COURT: So I'll let the attorneys know that we did receive a Covid questionnaire from this juror. 12:43:41 8 She hasn't been exposed. She doesn't have any symptoms. 12:43:41 9 12:43:4110 has received her Covid vaccination. But she does have a 12:43:4111 concern about being around others who may not be vaccinated 12:43:4112 but do not wear a mask. And I think that's a concern that 12:43:4113 many still share. That's not an unreasonable concern. 12:43:4114 also indicates that she has an elderly father and doesn't want to take chances on giving him anything, so if you have 12:43:4115 12:43:4116 any questions about that, I can explore with her a bit if 12:43:4117 she has issues about not wearing masks if everyone around her is vaccinated. 12:43:4118 12:43:4119 COURTROOM DEPUTY: May I bring her in, Your 12:43:4120 Honor? 12:43:4121 THE COURT: Yes. (Juror entering the room.) 12:43:4122 12:43:4123 THE COURT: You are juror number 10; is that 12:43:4124 right? A JUROR: 12:43:4125 Yes.

12:43:41 1 THE COURT: That would make you Ms. Hogan. 12:43:41 2 A JUROR: Yes. 12:43:41 3 THE COURT: Ma'am, I am vaccinated just so you know and I have been for a long time. 12:43:41 4 12:43:41 5 A JUROR: Okay. 12:43:41 6 THE COURT: And I'll stay away as well. 12:43:41 7 So you answered yes to question number 2 that asked if you had a special disability or problem that would 12:43:41 8 12:43:41 9 make serving as a member of the jury difficult or 12:43:4110 impossible. 12:43:4111 A JUROR: Yeah. It's not a disability, but it 12:43:4112 is a problem. I have been working graveyard shift for almost twenty years now, and I don't function well during 12:43:4113 12:43:4114 the day. 12:43:4115 THE COURT: Yes. 12:43:4116 A JUROR: You know, I just really don't. 12:43:4117 don't feel that I would be able to, you know, be able to 12:43:4118 concentrate on what's going on. 12:43:4119 THE COURT: Yeah. Did you have to work last 12:43:4120 night? 12:43:4121 A JUROR: No, I didn't. But I couldn't sleep last night. 12:43:4122 12:43:4123 THE COURT: Right. 12:43:4124 A JUROR: I went to bed like 4 o'clock. 12:43:4125 THE COURT: When is your next shift scheduled to

12:43:41 1	occur?
12:43:41 1	occur:
12:43:41 2	A JUROR: Actually if I get out before 12:00
12:43:42 3	today, I have to go in tonight.
12:43:42 4	THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to ask you to step
12:43:42 5	outside just for a minute. Thank you.
12:43:42 6	A JUROR: Okay.
12:43:42 7	(Juror leaving the room.)
12:43:42 8	THE COURT: Similar to the juror we excused
12:43:42 9	before, I am inclined to excuse jurors for cause who work
12:43:4210	nightshift. Is there any objection to that or do we want to
12:43:4211	have more follow-up questions?
12:43:4212	MS. MUNNINGS: No objection.
12:43:4213	MR. WAN: No, Your Honor.
12:43:4214	THE COURT: This juror can be excused for cause.
12:43:4215	Thank you.
12:43:4216	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Your Honor, I have juror
12:43:4217	number 11, Griffin John, yes response to question number 6.
12:43:4218	THE COURT: Okay.
12:43:4219	(Juror entering the room.)
12:43:4220	THE COURT: Please have a seat, sir. You're
12:43:4221	juror 11; is that right?
12:43:4222	A JUROR: Yes.
12:43:4223	THE COURT: And that makes you Mr. John; is that
12:43:4224	right?
12:43:4225	A JUROR: Yes.

12:43:42 1 THE COURT: Okay. So I understand from Ms. Garfinkel that you answered yes to question number 6 12:43:42 2 which asked whether or not you have had any experiences with 12:43:42 3 12:43:42 4 the Delaware Department of Correction or any relationships 12:43:42 5 with Delaware Department of Correction employees or inmates 12:43:42 6 that would make it difficult for you to be a fair and 12:43:42 7 impartial juror in this case. 12:43:42 8 Can you tell us a little bit more? 12:43:42 9 A JUROR: Yes. So I work in Delaware Air 12:43:4210 National Guard from 2009 to 2015 of which two of the 12:43:4211 coworkers work in Delaware Correctional Center, and they 12:43:4212 told me stories about the inmates there. It's just that --12:43:4213 I'm just letting you know that I heard stories about it. 12:43:4214 unless I hear the case, I won't be making any judgment on 12:43:4215 that, but I'm just letting you know. 12:43:4216 THE COURT: Do you know which prison your 12:43:4217 coworkers worked at? A JUROR: It's been a while, I don't remember, 12:43:4218 12:43:4219 but I know they worked in the correction center. 12:43:4220 THE COURT: Do you think if I instructed you to 12:43:4221 put aside those stories and just render a verdict based on the evidence in this case that you would be able to do that? 12:43:4222 12:43:4223 A JUROR: I sure do. 12:43:4224 THE COURT: All right. Questions? 12:43:4225 MS. COZEN: Did the stories portray the inmates

12:43:42 1	in a negative light?
12:43:42 2	A JUROR: It did.
12:43:42 3	MS. COZEN: And has that impacted your view of
12:43:42 4	inmates or prisoners in Delaware?
12:43:42 5	A JUROR: I never been to the correction center,
12:43:42 6	so the things I heard is from my coworkers telling about it,
12:43:42 7	so that's my viewpoint about it. But I never been to any
12:43:42 8	correction center myself to hear any other stories.
12:43:42 9	MS. COZEN: So that's all you have to base your
12:43:4210	view?
12:43:4211	A JUROR: Yes, that's all.
12:43:4212	MS. COZEN: Okay.
12:43:4213	THE COURT: Anymore questions?
12:43:4214	MS. COZEN: No further questions.
12:43:4215	THE COURT: Any questions?
12:43:4216	MR. WAN: What stories did they tell you?
12:43:4217	A JUROR: About them trying to escape and trying
12:43:4218	to attack them while they're trying to escape.
12:43:4219	MR. WAN: And that doesn't affect your ability
12:43:420	to be impartial in this case?
12:43:4321	A JUROR: I hope not.
12:43:4322	MR. WAN: Okay.
12:43:4323	THE COURT: We'll have you stand outside for
12:43:4324	just a minute.
12:43:4325	A JUROR: All right.

12:43:43 1 12:43:43 2 12:43:43 3 12:43:43 4 12:43:43 5 12:43:43 6 12:43:43 7 12:43:43 8 12:43:43 9 12:43:4310 12:43:4311 12:43:4312 12:43:4313 12:43:4314 12:43:4315 12:43:4316 12:43:4317 12:43:4318 12:43:4319 12:43:4320

12:43:4321

12:43:4322

12:43:4323

12:43:4324

12:43:4325

(Juror leaving the room.)

THE COURT: My application.

MS. COZEN: Your Honor, we would move to strike for cause. This juror said that the only impressions he has about the Delaware Department of Corrections are what he heard from his friends and the fact that he hopes for it not to affect of his opinion is not sufficient for us to have him. We think that would be bias against our client and very prejudicial.

THE COURT: Mr. Wan?

MR. WAN: He said he could be impartial. When you asked him what you asked him, he said it won't affect his ability to render a fair verdict. I think he is okay.

THE COURT: He did indicate he thought he could be impartial, but I am troubled by the fact that he answered yes to a question that it would be difficult for him to be fair and impartial. Based on that, we're going to strike juror number 11 for cause.

MR. WAN: Your Honor, when they're seated, do they change juror numbers or they're wearing the one that was initially assigned?

THE COURT: They are wearing the one they were initially assigned. We're going to keep these juror numbers and then we will renumber them once we get to our eight, if that makes sense.

12:43:43 1	MR. WAN: Got you.
12:43:43 1	MR. WAN: GOL YOU.
12:43:43 2	THE COURT: I have next to my list James Robert
12:43:43 3	Matthews.
12:43:43 4	MR. WAN: Number 12, Your Honor?
12:43:43 5	THE COURT: Yes.
12:43:43 6	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Your Honor, I have juror
12:43:43 7	number 12, James Matthews, responded yes to questions 1, 2
12:43:43 8	and 7.
12:43:43 9	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
12:43:4310	(Juror entering the room.)
12:43:4311	THE COURT: Good morning, sir.
12:43:4312	A JUROR: Good morning.
12:43:4313	THE COURT: Please have a seat right here. You
12:43:4314	are Juror 12; is that right?
12:43:4315	A JUROR: Yes.
12:43:4316	THE COURT: And that would make you
12:43:4317	Mr. Matthews; is that right?
12:43:4318	A JUROR: Yes.
12:43:4319	THE COURT: I understand, sir, you answered yes
12:43:4320	to question number 1 which ask if the length of the trial or
12:43:4321	the schedule would be a problem for you. Can you tell us a
12:43:4322	little bit about that?
12:43:4323	A JUROR: I work for the hospital, Christiana.
12:43:4324	Right now we're short staffed and I work third shift.
12:43:4325	THE COURT: What hours is third shift?

12:43:43 1	A JUROR: 10 o'clock to 7:00.
12:43:43 2	THE COURT: You worked last night, sir?
12:43:43 3	A JUROR: I worked last night and tonight, too.
12:43:43 4	THE COURT: Very good. I'm going to ask you to
12:43:43 5	stand outside for a minute while I talk to the attorneys.
12:43:43 6	(Juror leaving the room.)
12:43:43 7	THE COURT: I am inclined to excuse this juror
12:43:43 8	for cause based on the fact that he worked third shift. Any
12:43:44 9	objections?
12:43:4410	MR. WAN: No, Your Honor.
12:43:4411	MS. MUNNINGS: No, Your Honor.
12:43:4412	THE COURT: Thank you.
12:43:4413	The next I have on my list is Juan Carlos
12:43:4414	Cabrera.
12:43:4415	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Juror number 13, Juan
12:43:4416	Cabrera. No responses.
12:43:4417	THE COURT: So that would make him nine on our
12:43:4418	running total of fourteen.
12:43:4419	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Correct, Your Honor.
12:43:4420	And outside we have juror number 14, Tammy
12:43:4421	Brown, responded yes to question number 4.
12:43:4422	(Juror entering the room.)
12:43:4423	THE COURT: Hi. Please have a seat. You are
12:43:4424	juror number 14; is that right?
12:43:4425	A JUROR: Yes.

12:43:44 1 THE COURT: That would make you Ms. Brown. 12:43:44 2 A JUROR: Yes. 12:43:44 3 THE COURT: I understand that you responded yes to question number 4 which asked if you or any member of 12:43:44 4 your immediate family has ever been incarcerated at James T. 12:43:44 5 12:43:44 6 Vaughn Correctional Center. 12:43:44 7 A JUROR: Yes. 12:43:44 8 THE COURT: Can you tell us. 12:43:44 9 A JUROR: I have two half brothers. 12:43:4410 spoke to him since my mother passed. Same mother, different 12:43:4411 fathers. They both have been incarcerated many times. Ι 12:43:4412 don't know if they have been at Vaughn. I am going to 12:43:4413 assume they were since it's been so many times. 12:43:4414 THE COURT: Does the fact that your half 12:43:4415 brothers have been incarcerated in Delaware, do you think 12:43:4416 that would make it difficult for you to be a fair and 12:43:4417 impartial juror in this case? 12:43:4418 A JUROR: No. No. 12:43:4419 THE COURT: Any questions from counsel for 12:43:4420 plaintiff? 12:43:4421 MS. MUNNINGS: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Any questions? 12:43:4422 12:43:4423 MR. WAN: Ms. Brown, do you speak to your half 12:43:424 brothers often? 12:43:4425 A JUROR: No, my mother has been gone seven

12:43:44 1	years. I did have an incident with my brother and I had to
12:43:44 2	come here and produce a victim statement. That was my last
12:43:44 3	contact with him. And he was incarcerated due to his
12:43:44 4	threats.
12:43:44 5	MR. WAN: Did they tell you about any of their
12:43:44 6	experiences while being incarcerated?
12:43:44 7	A JUROR: No, I don't talk to them.
12:43:44 8	THE COURT: We're going to have you stand
12:43:44 9	outside for just a moment. Thanks so much.
12:43:4410	A JUROR: Thank you.
12:43:4411	(Juror leaving the room.)
12:43:4412	THE COURT: Any applications?
12:43:4413	MS. MUNNINGS: No, Your Honor.
12:43:4414	MR. WAN: No, Your Honor.
12:43:4415	THE COURT: Okay. That makes ten so far.
12:43:4416	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Judge, I have juror number
12:43:4417	15, Yuan Liu and has yes answers to 1 and 19.
12:43:4418	THE COURT: Thank you.
12:43:4419	(Juror entering the room.)
12:43:4420	THE COURT: Please have a seat. You are juror
12:43:4421	number 15, sir, is that right?
12:43:4422	A JUROR: Yes.
12:43:4423	THE COURT: That makes you Mr. Liu.
12:43:4424	A JUROR: Yes.
12:43:425	THE COURT: I understand that you answered yes

12:43:45 1 12:43:45 2 A JUROR: Yes. 12:43:45 3 12:43:45 4 12:43:45 5 about that? 12:43:45 6 12:43:45 7 12:43:45 8 12:43:45 9 12:43:4510 12:43:4511 12:43:4512 12:43:4513 12:43:4514 12:43:4515 that right? 12:43:4516 12:43:4517 hasn't gotten back to me. 12:43:4518 THE COURT: 12:43:4519 12:43:4520 (Juror leaving the room.) 12:43:4521 12:43:4522 12:43:4523 12:43:4524 juror for cause? 12:43:4525

to question number 1 about the length of the trial or the schedule presenting a problem for you.

THE COURT: Could you tell us a little more

A JUROR: I wasn't sure about the wording on special problems, but I'm attending the University of Delaware summer classes and we have a final on Friday, so it would be two to two-and-a-half business days, it's a synchronous final, but I haven't gotten a response from my professor yet, I don't know if he can reschedule it.

THE COURT: And I imagine that the term synchronous final is a term that's unique to Covid and that means you need to take it when everybody else takes it; is

A JUROR: I e-mailed him about a makeup, but he

Thank you. Sir, I'm going to ask you to stand out in the hall for a minute.

THE COURT: I think in the jury plan we may usually excuse students. I don't know how this one slipped through, but does anyone have any objection if I excuse this

MS. MUNNINGS: No, Your Honor.

12:43:45 1	MR. WAN: No.
12:43:45 2	THE COURT: Okay. We'll excuse Mr. Liu for
12:43:45 3	cause. Thank you.
12:43:45 4	The next one I have on my list is Luigi
12:43:45 5	Schiavoni.
12:43:45 6	MS. COZEN: Schiavoni.
12:43:45 7	THE COURT: S-C-H.
12:43:45 8	MS. COZEN: Thank you.
12:43:45 9	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Your Honor, we have juror
12:43:4510	number 16, Luigi Schiavoni. And he doesn't necessarily have
12:43:4511	a yes, just has a question that's related to his job.
12:43:4512	(Juror entering the room.)
12:43:4513	THE COURT: Good afternoon, sir.
12:43:4514	A JUROR: Hello there.
12:43:4515	THE COURT: Please have a seat. You're juror
12:43:4516	number 16, is that right?
12:43:4517	A JUROR: Yes.
12:43:4518	THE COURT: That makes you Mr. Schiavoni.
12:43:4519	A JUROR: Yes.
12:43:4520	THE COURT: I understand you had a concern
12:43:4521	related to your employment?
12:43:4522	A JUROR: Yes. Everybody of course thinks
12:43:4523	they're the most important person at their job. A couple
12:43:4524	months ago the boss in front of me just quit, so then
12:43:4525	which is good, that gave me a lot of responsibilities and

stuff at work and I have a manager above me. Well, since 12:43:45 1 12:43:45 2 then about two weeks ago he got diagnosed with some heavy medical stuff that he's getting to go to, that means I would 12:43:45 3 be the guy to ask. 12:43:45 4 12:43:45 5 THE COURT: What do you do? 12:43:45 6 A JUROR: I'm a mechanic. I'm foreman at Bay 12:43:45 7 Shore Ford. 12:43:45 8 THE COURT: What hours do you work? 12:43:46 9 A JUROR: I work in the morning from 6:00 in the 12:43:4610 morning to 2:30 in the afternoon. 12:43:4611 THE COURT: If your supervisor above you is out, 12:43:4612 what would you be called on to do? 12:43:4613 A JUROR: Either make a decision or go over to 12:43:4614 the other shop because there is one or two shops to see what is going on. Like this morning before I came here I went 12:43:4615 12:43:4616 and got a couple of guys started on their job, that's where 12:43:4617 I went this morning before I came here, so I figured I would ask. I got no problem with making a statement, whatever I 12:43:4618 12:43:4619 can do. 12:43:420 THE COURT: Is it a union shop, sir? 12:43:421 A JUROR: No. THE COURT: So if your supervisor in front of 12:43:4622 12:43:4623 you is gone, you would just take over for him? A JUROR: Not really take over. I can't say I 12:43:424 have that much authority, like I couldn't fire anybody or 12:43:425

12:43:46 1	that stuff. You know what I mean?
12:43:46 2	THE COURT: Do you have any reason to think that
12:43:46 3	he'll be out?
12:43:46 4	A JUROR: He has got cancer and it's pretty
12:43:46 5	aggressive. He started his first dose of chemo today.
12:43:46 6	THE COURT: Okay. Does your employer know
12:43:46 7	you're here today?
12:43:46 8	A JUROR: Yes.
12:43:46 9	THE COURT: Did they express any concern with
12:43:4610	you
12:43:4611	A JUROR: She said Lou, we need you back as soon
12:43:4612	as you can. I said, I got you. I'm with you guys. Would
12:43:4613	the place close up tomorrow without me? No, just like every
12:43:4614	place.
12:43:4615	THE COURT: Any questions, counsel for the
12:43:4616	plaintiff?
12:43:4617	MS. MUNNINGS: No, Your Honor.
12:43:4618	THE COURT: Any questions for counsel for the
12:43:4619	defendant?
12:43:420	MR. WAN: No.
12:43:421	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. We're going to have
12:43:4622	you stand out in the hall for just a minute.
12:43:423	A JUROR: Thank you.
12:43:424	(Juror leaving the room.)
12:43:425	THE COURT: Any application?

12:43:46 1	MS. MUNNINGS: No, Your Honor.
12:43:46 2	MR. WAN: No, Your Honor.
12:43:46 3	THE COURT: Okay. We'll have that be number 11.
12:43:46 4	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Your Honor, juror number 17,
12:43:46 5	Christopher Aitken, a yes response to one, and a possible
12:43:46 6	yes to seven.
12:43:46 7	THE COURT: Thank you.
12:43:46 8	(Juror entering the room.)
12:43:46 9	THE COURT: Good afternoon, sir. Please have a
12:43:4610	seat right here.
12:43:461	A JUROR: Sure.
12:43:4612	THE COURT: You are juror number 17; is that
12:43:4613	right?
12:43:4614	A JUROR: That is correct.
12:43:4615	THE COURT: That would make you Mr. Aitken?
12:43:4616	A JUROR: Yes.
12:43:4617	THE COURT: You answered yes to question number
12:43:4618	1, which asked if the length of the trial or the schedule
12:43:4619	presented a problem for you.
12:43:420	A JUROR: Yes.
12:43:421	THE COURT: Can you tell us a little bit about
12:43:422	that.
12:43:423	A JUROR: For the summer I'm a stay-at-home
12:43:424	father of three young kids and so the thirteen-year old, but
12:43:425	more importantly two eleven-year old twins and one of them

12:43:47 1 has severe autism, so finding child care for the extended 12:43:47 2 amount of time is pretty difficult. During the school year he goes to school, so that's not necessarily an issue during 12:43:47 3 the school year, but for the summer, I'm taking off this 12:43:47 4 summer and kind of at home with them. 12:43:47 5 12:43:47 6 THE COURT: Who is watching them right now? 12:43:47 7 A JUROR: So my wife took off today. So she is a business owner. So she is off until probably 2:00, 12:43:47 8 12:43:47 9 3 o'clock or so today, then she'll go into her office. 12:43:4710 THE COURT: Any questions from counsel? 12:43:4711 MS. MUNNINGS: No, Your Honor. 12:43:4712 THE COURT: Any questions from counsel for the 12:43:4713 defendant? 12:43:4714 MR. WAN: No, Your Honor. 12:43:4715 THE COURT: We'll have you stand out in the 12:43:4716 hall. 12:43:4717 A JUROR: I did have probable number six. 12:43:4718 THE COURT: Why don't you tell us about that. 12:43:4719 A JUROR: So in regards to knowing, I don't know 12:43:4720 anyone from the Vaughn Correctional Facility, but I do 12:43:4721 coach. One of my close friends just retired from Ferris, so just being a coach and sharing stories about his day and 12:43:4722 12:43:4723 stuff, I'm not sure in this case if it would kind of skew my view on anything to do with the correctional facility or 12:43:4724 people involved with the correctional facility. 12:43:4725

12:43:47 1 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. We'll have you step 12:43:47 2 outside just for a minute. 12:43:47 3 (Juror leaving the room.) 12:43:47 4 THE COURT: So I am inclined to excuse this 12:43:47 5 juror for hardship given that he has indicated he has a child with special needs and I can tell you based on my 12:43:47 6 12:43:47 7 understanding with child care situation this summer in light of the pandemic, in light of the nursing care that's 12:43:47 8 12:43:47 9 available to folks with children with special needs, I think 12:43:4710 that is an undue hardship, we can bring him back in for 12:43:4711 further questioning if you like, but if there is no 12:43:4712 objection, we can excuse him. 12:43:4713 MS. MUNNINGS: No objection. 12:43:4714 MR. WAN: No objection. 12:43:4715 THE COURT: We'll excuse that juror. 12:43:4716 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Your Honor, we have juror 12:43:4717 number 18, Denise Macleish, responded yes to question 7. 12:43:4718 THE COURT: Okay. Just give us one minute so everyone can look up that juror. 12:43:4719 Thank you. 12:43:4720 (Juror entering the room.) 12:43:4721 THE COURT: Please have a seat, ma'am. understand that you are juror number 18; is that right? 12:43:4722 12:43:4723 A JUROR: That's correct. 12:43:4724 THE COURT: That would make you Ms. Macleish. 12:43:4725 A JUROR: Yes.

12:43:47 1	THE COURT: And Ms. Garfinkel has indicated that
12:43:47 2	you had a yes answer to question 7 which is do you or any
12:43:47 3	member of your immediate family have experience of a law
12:43:48 4	enforcement officer?
12:43:48 5	A JUROR: Correct.
12:43:48 6	THE COURT: Could you tell us a little more
12:43:48 7	about that?
12:43:48 8	A JUROR: Sure. My older brother was the former
12:43:48 9	state police manager, Thomas Macleish.
12:43:4810	THE COURT: Was that here in Delaware?
12:43:4811	A JUROR: Yes, it was.
12:43:4812	THE COURT: And does your relationship with your
12:43:4813	older brother or the fact that he was a former law
12:43:4814	enforcement officer in your view make it difficult for you
12:43:4815	to render a verdict based solely on the evidence in this
12:43:4816	case?
12:43:4817	A JUROR: No, it doesn't. But I have grown up
12:43:4818	with him in law enforcement all my life.
12:43:4819	THE COURT: Do you think you could be fair and
12:43:4&0	impartial if I instructed you to render a verdict just based
12:43:4&1	on the evidence in this case?
12:43:4&2	A JUROR: Absolutely.
12:43:4823	THE COURT: Any questions from counsel for
12:43:4824	plaintiff?
12:43:4&5	MS. COZEN: Yes. When listening to witness

12:43:48 1	testimony, do you think your relationship with your brother
12:43:48 2	would make you more likely to find a corrections officer or
12:43:48 3	someone who works in corrections credible over an inmate?
12:43:48 4	A JUROR: No, but probably my life and
12:43:48 5	experience might. I was a 38-year federal employee, but I
12:43:48 6	have worked for the federal government.
12:43:48 7	MS. COZEN: What did you do for the federal
12:43:48 8	government?
12:43:48 9	A JUROR: I worked for the Department of
12:43:4810	Agriculture and I ran a program that we did funding in
12:43:4811	Delaware and Maryland for rural communities.
12:43:4812	MS. COZEN: And through this program, did you
12:43:4813	ever interact with inmates?
12:43:4814	A JUROR: No. No.
12:43:4815	MS. COZEN: Prison administrators?
12:43:4816	A JUROR: No.
12:43:4817	MS. MUNNINGS: So can you explain more why you
12:43:4818	believe that you have a bias
12:43:4819	A JUROR: I don't know that it would be a bias
12:43:4&0	as much as it would be just my experience in working over
12:43:4&1	the years and working with a variety of different people.
12:43:4&2	MS. MUNNINGS: And that would make you more
12:43:4&3	likely to trust people working with the government?
12:43:4&4	A JUROR: I don't know if it's trust working
12:43:4&5	with government, it was just that's my life experience,

12:43:48 1	that's what I have done is worked within the government, and
12:43:48 2	following government rules and regulations, things along
12:43:48 3	that line.
12:43:48 4	MS. COZEN: And would it be more difficult for
12:43:48 5	you to find against a federal employee because of your
12:43:48 6	experience?
12:43:48 7	A JUROR: No.
12:43:48 8	MS. COZEN: But you would be more likely or
12:43:48 9	would you be more likely to take the federal employee's word
12:43:4810	because of their position?
12:43:4811	THE COURT: No, I don't think so.
12:43:4812	MS. COZEN: No further questions.
12:43:4813	THE COURT: All right.
12:43:4814	MR. WAN: Nope.
12:43:4815	THE COURT: Thank you. We'll have you stand out
12:43:4816	in the hall for just a minute.
12:43:4817	A JUROR: Okay. Sure.
12:43:4818	(Juror leaving the room.)
12:43:4819	THE COURT: Any application?
12:43:4&0	MS. COZEN: Yes, Your Honor, we would move to
12:43:4&1	strike this juror for cause. She was just very
12:43:4922	contradictory in terms of whether her experience in life
12:43:4923	would affect her judgment or whether she would be able to be
12:43:4924	impartial in this case and we found that concerning.
12:43:4925	THE COURT: Mr. Wan.

12:43:49 1 12:43:49 2 12:43:49 3 12:43:49 4 12:43:49 5 12:43:49 6 12:43:49 7 12:43:49 8 12:43:49 9 12:43:4910 12:43:4911 12:43:4912 12:43:4913 12:43:4914 12:43:4915 12:43:4916 12:43:4917 12:43:4918 12:43:4919 12:43:4920 12:43:4921 12:43:4922 12:43:4923 12:43:4924

12:43:4925

MR. WAN: I don't think so. She said she won't be bias. She said it was her life experience which I think generally following jury instructions you use your life experience when coming to a verdict. She said there is no bias and I think as far as life experience that makes her a fine juror.

THE COURT: I tend to agree with Mr. Wan on this one. I listened very closely to her answers and I don't think she was contradictory. You asked her two separate lines of questions, one had to do with whether or not she could put aside any bias and render a verdict based on the instructions and the evidence at trial, she was very clear in her responses that she could do that. You also asked her a separate line of questions that had to do with whether or not she would use her life experience to credit certain witness's testimony and I agree with Mr. Wan that that is something that we have in the jury instructions that a juror is permitted to do. I can't find that this juror won't be impartial or disruptive. And so we're going to have this juror as number 12 on our list of 14.

COURTROOM DEPUTY: Your Honor, we have juror number 19, Katherine Spangler, a yes response to question 6.

THE COURT: Okay.

(Juror entering the room.)

THE COURT: Hi. We'll flip you around here.

12:43:49 1 Please have a seat. You're juror number 19; is that right? 12:43:49 2 A JUROR: Yes. 12:43:49 3 THE COURT: And that makes you Ms. Spangler; is that right? 12:43:49 4 12:43:49 5 A JUROR: 12:43:49 6 THE COURT: And you answered yes to question 6 12:43:49 7 which asked if you had any experiences with the Delaware Department of Correction or any relationship with the 12:43:49 8 12:43:49 9 employees or inmates that would make it difficult for you to 12:43:4910 be a fair and impartial juror in this case. Can we hear a little more about that? 12:43:4911 12:43:4912 A JUROR: My ex-husband spent approximately five 12:43:4913 years at Sussex Correctional Institution, so we had minor 12:43:4914 experiences going in and out of the facility visiting him. 12:43:4915 He met a lot of the security guards. I heard a lot of 12:43:4916 stories while he was in there. 12:43:4917 THE COURT: Did you recognize any of the 12:43:4918 names --12:43:4919 A JUROR: No. 12:43:4920 THE COURT: -- in the trial as someone you had 12:43:4921 heard stories about? 12:43:4922 A JUROR: No. No. 12:43:4923 THE COURT: Do you think if I instructed you to render a verdict based solely on the evidence presented in 12:43:4924 12:43:4925 this case that you would be able to do that?

12:43:49 1 A JUROR: I think I could, yes. 12:43:50 2 THE COURT: And is it true that you would -- so 12:43:50 3 you answered yes to question 6, which asked if it would be difficult to be fair and impartial. Do you think you could 12:43:50 4 12:43:50 5 be fair and impartial? 12:43:50 6 A JUROR: It just made me -- I wasn't sure 12:43:50 7 whether or not I would or not, I never served on a jury before, so I didn't know what you do when you come back 12:43:50 8 here, so I wanted to tell you. 12:43:50 9 12:43:5010 THE COURT: All right. So you wanted to let us 12:43:5011 know that you had an experience with an inmate, but you 12:43:5012 don't necessarily think it would be difficult for you to be fair and impartial. Is that fair to say? 12:43:5013 12:43:5014 A JUROR: Yes. 12:43:5015 THE COURT: Let's see if there are any questions 12:43:5016 from counsel for the plaintiff. 12:43:5017 MS. COZEN: What types of stories would your husband tell you about his experience? 12:43:5018 12:43:5019 A JUROR: Just the goings on inside. 12:43:5020 MS. COZEN: Was it about other inmates or 12:43:5021 quards? 12:43:5022 A JUROR: Yeah, other inmates. He became 12:43:5023 friends with some of the security guards, you know, that were in there, so we knew a couple of them. Friendly basis 12:43:5024 12:43:5025 kind of thing.

12:43:50 1	MS. COZEN: And would that affect your ability
12:43:50 2	to judge the credibility of a witness who might be connected
12:43:50 3	to the prison or an inmate in some way?
12:43:50 4	A JUROR: I don't know. I'm not sure. I'm not
12:43:50 5	sure if it would or not, because I had, you know, some good
12:43:50 6	things that I heard and some bad things. So I kind of think
12:43:50 7	it might possibly. I'm not sure.
12:43:50 8	MS. COZEN: No further questions, Your Honor.
12:43:50 9	A JUROR: I mean, just only because I was on the
12:43:5010	other side and I got to hear some of the stories. I don't
12:43:5011	know I don't know what this case is about.
12:43:5012	THE COURT: Yes. What prison was he at?
12:43:5013	A JUROR: In Georgetown, SCI, and then he was in
12:43:5014	the one at Dover as well, the one that it was a lease
12:43:5015	type thing from home.
12:43:5016	THE COURT: Mr. Wan.
12:43:5017	MR. WAN: So did the stories your ex-husband
12:43:5018	tell you, you said that might be able to affect your ability
12:43:5019	to be unbiased or it would, I'm sorry, I missed that.
12:43:5020	A JUROR: I think it would, yeah, I think it
12:43:5021	would.
12:43:5022	MR. WAN: No other questions, Your Honor.
12:43:5023	THE COURT: All right. We'll have you step out
12:43:5024	in the hall for just a minute.
12:43:5025	A JUROR: Thank you.

12:43:50 1 (Juror leaving the room.) 12:43:50 2 THE COURT: Any application? 12:43:50 3 MS. COZEN: Yes, Your Honor, we would move to strike this juror for cause. She did express a bias and 12:43:50 4 said she was not going to be able to remain impartial, and 12:43:50 5 that is appropriate for this trial. 12:43:51 6 12:43:51 7 THE COURT: Mr. Wan. 12:43:51 8 MR. WAN: I think in response to my question, 12:43:51 9 she couldn't be unbiased. 12:43:5110 THE COURT: The parties are in agreement that 12:43:5111 juror will be stricken for cause. 12:43:5112 The next on my list is John Ditomo. No, I'm sorry, Robert Campbell is the next. And the next after that 12:43:5113 is John Ditomo. 12:43:5114 12:43:5115 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Your Honor, Juror number 20, 12:43:5116 Robert Campbell, no responses. 12:43:5117 THE COURT: Okay. And that makes number 13. 12:43:5118 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Correct, Your Honor. 12:43:5119 THE COURT: Okay. 12:43:5120 COURTROOM DEPUTY: We have juror number 21, John 12:43:5121 Ditomo, yes responses to 1, 7, 8, and the last question. THE COURT: Okay. Give me one second. So it's 12:43:5122 1, 7, 8 and 19. 12:43:5123 12:43:5124 (Juror entering the room.) 12:43:5125 THE COURT: Sir, please have a seat right here.

12:43:51 1 So you are juror number 21; is that right? 12:43:51 2 A JUROR: I am. 12:43:51 3 THE COURT: And that makes you Mr. Ditomo. 12:43:51 4 A JUROR: Yes. 12:43:51 5 THE COURT: I understand that you have answered yes to question number 1; is that right? 12:43:51 6 12:43:51 7 A JUROR: I did. THE COURT: Can you tell us a little more about 12:43:51 8 12:43:51 9 that? 12:43:5110 A JUROR: So I am presently on summer vacation which in itself isn't the problem, my house is in Maryland. 12:43:5111 12:43:5112 A two-and-a-half day trial is going to create some problems 12:43:5113 for me getting my parents and my sister and four nephews 12:43:5114 from Maryland to the airport, so I was one of two cars. So 12:43:5115 that was the situation that came up when you noted the 12:43:5116 length of the trial. Two-and-a-half days. 12:43:5117 THE COURT: Okay. So you're on vacation now, you come in here today for jury duty while you're on 12:43:5118 12:43:5119 vacation? 12:43:5120 A JUROR: Yes. 12:43:5121 THE COURT: You also answered yes to number seven which is whether you or a member of your immediate 12:43:5122 12:43:5123 family has experience as a law enforcement officer. 12:43:5124 A JUROR: Does my mother-in-law count as 12:43:5125 immediate family?

12:43:51 1 THE COURT: Why don't you tell us about that. 12:43:51 2 A JUROR: She is a state trooper for New Jersey She works in the lab. But I wasn't sure if 12:43:51 3 State Police. that was counted as immediate family member. 12:43:51 4 12:43:51 5 THE COURT: And then we got a yes answer to 12:43:52 6 number 8 where you stated you may know some of the folks 12:43:52 7 that are presenting at the trial; is that right? 12:43:52 8 A JUROR: So I used to work for Pepper Hamilton 12:43:52 9 before its merger, and I know Joanna Cline through work. 12:43:5210 I'm an attorney. I know her through work. I also went to 12:43:5211 high school with her and Doug Hermann who is I believe 12:43:5212 Delaware counsel, he is not on the list, but I recognize 12:43:5213 him, he and I worked very closely on the Board of Bar 12:43:5214 Examiners. 12:43:5215 THE COURT: Is it also the case that you and I 12:43:5216 took the bar together? 12:43:5217 A JUROR: It is, Your Honor, yes. That was the answer to the last question, Your Honor, I know the judge 12:43:5218 12:43:5219 presiding in this matter. 12:43:5220 THE COURT: Is it also the case that we went out 12:43:5221 for drinks after finishing the bar exam? 12:43:5222 A JUROR: We did. From what I remember. 12:43:523 THE COURT: Yeah, it's a little fuzzy for me, too. Why don't I ask you to step out into the hall for a 12:43:5224 12:43:525 minute, sir.

12:43:52 1	A JUROR: Okay.
12:43:52 2	THE COURT: Thanks.
12:43:52 3	(Juror leaving the room.)
12:43:52 4	THE COURT: So Mr. Ditomo was on vacation. I
12:43:52 5	think he could have asked to be delayed in light of the fact
12:43:52 6	that we have now extended this into the next week, I am
12:43:52 7	inclined to release people for vacation plans which it
12:43:52 8	sounds like he has. If there is an objection, we can bring
12:43:52 9	him back in here and ask him the rest of the questions, but
12:43:5210	I think that's a reason in and of itself to excuse him from
12:43:5211	the panel. Any objections to that?
12:43:5212	MS. MUNNINGS: No, Your Honor.
12:43:5213	MR. WAN: No.
12:43:5214	THE COURT: All right. We'll go ahead and
12:43:5215	excuse Mr. Ditomo.
12:43:5216	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Your Honor, juror number 22,
12:43:5217	William Roca.
12:43:5218	THE COURT: Okay.
12:43:5219	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes responses to questions 1,
12:43:5220	6, and 14.
12:43:5221	THE COURT: Okay.
12:43:5222	(Juror entering the room.)
12:43:5223	THE COURT: Please have a seat here, sir. You
12:43:5224	are juror number 22; is that right?
12:43:5225	A JUROR: Yes.

12:43:52 1 THE COURT: That makes you Mr. Roca; is that 12:43:52 2 right? A JUROR: Yes. 12:43:52 3 12:43:52 4 THE COURT: You answered yes to my question about whether or not the length of the trial or the schedule 12:43:52 5 12:43:52 6 presents a problem for you. 12:43:52 7 A JUROR: So special, I live down in Rehoboth and I work two jobs, one of which is during the day, one is 12:43:52 8 12:43:52 9 at night which is a restaurant, which I'm sure everyone 12:43:5210 knows the restaurants are short staffed now, so if it does run to we said 5:00 here, it takes me three hours to get 12:43:5211 12:43:5212 back because of traffic, I won't be able to work at the 12:43:5313 restaurant, which might pose a problem for them because of 12:43:5314 the short staffing, and might have to close, so on and so forth. 12:43:5315 It will be a ripple effect. 12:43:5316 THE COURT: Is it a restaurant that's open late 12:43:5317 into the evening? A JUROR: It's open until about 10 o'clock. 12:43:5318 12:43:5319 THE COURT: And you normally at that time going 12:43:5320 to bed and get back up for your job at what time? 12:43:5321 A JUROR: 5:00 a.m. 12:43:5322 Let me ask you about question number THE COURT: 12:43:5323 6, which asked if you had any experiences with the Delaware 12:43:5324 Department of Correction or relationships with Delaware Department of Correction employees or inmates that would 12:43:5325

12:43:53 1 12:43:53 2 12:43:53 3 12:43:53 4 12:43:53 5 12:43:53 6 12:43:53 7 12:43:53 8 12:43:53 9 12:43:5310 12:43:5311 12:43:5312 12:43:5313 12:43:5314 12:43:5315 12:43:5316 12:43:5317 12:43:5318 12:43:5319 12:43:5320 12:43:5321 12:43:5322 12:43:5323

12:43:5324

12:43:5325

make it difficult for you to be fair and impartial in this case.

A JUROR: So I am a Delaware veteran, Army

National Guard, 198 out of Georgetown. I deployed in 2013

and a few of my comrades were correctional officers for

Delaware.

THE COURT: Okay. And so what about your relationship with them, if anything, you think might make it difficult for you to be fair and impartial?

A JUROR: Just based on their, some of their stories that they have told, the environment they were in might make me go one way or the other, just based on that knowledge.

THE COURT: Do you think if I instructed you to render a verdict based solely on the evidence in this case that you would be able to do that?

A JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: Let me ask you about question number 14, where it ask if you find that Mr. Pierce violated Mr. Szubielski's constitutional rights would you have any difficulty in awarding money damages to compensate Mr. Szubielski, why don't you tell me your answer to that?

A JUROR: I said yes without really knowing what the case is about. If it didn't impede the plaintiff to make more money or lost money because of whatever happened,

12:43:53 1 then I don't think money should be awarded. 12:43:53 2 THE COURT: Okay. Do you think if I instructed 12:43:53 3 you on how you would award damages in the case that you would be able to follow those instructions? 12:43:53 4 12:43:53 5 A JUROR: If it didn't involve a monetary value, 12:43:53 6 yes. 12:43:53 7 THE COURT: Let me make sure I understand your So if I told you that you could and should award 12:43:53 8 answer. 12:43:53 9 the plaintiff damages, even if he didn't lose any money in 12:43:5310 the case, he just suffered injury, would you be able to follow that instruction? 12:43:5311 12:43:5312 A JUROR: I don't think so. 12:43:5313 THE COURT: Okay. Any questions from counsel 12:43:5314 for plaintiff? 12:43:5315 MS. COZEN: No, Your Honor. 12:43:5316 THE COURT: Any questions for counsel from 12:43:5317 defendant? 12:43:5318 MR. WAN: No, Your Honor. 12:43:5319 THE COURT: We're going to have you step out 12:43:5420 into the hall for a minute. 12:43:5421 (Juror leaving the room.) THE COURT: Any applications? 12:43:5422 12:43:5423 MS. COZEN: Yes, Your Honor, we would move to strike this juror for cause. He openly said he would not be 12:43:5424 able to apply the law as you instructed him. He also 12:43:5425

12:43:54 1	admitted that he has heard stories that might affect his
12:43:54 2	ability to be fair and impartial. We don't think that's
12:43:54 3	appropriate.
12:43:54 4	MR. WAN: I think his question about the
12:43:54 5	compensatory damage might be an issue.
12:43:54 6	THE COURT: So the parties are in agreement that
12:43:54 7	the juror should be stricken and I agree as well.
12:43:54 8	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Your Honor, juror number 23,
12:43:54 9	Jeffrey Lowden, yes answers to 1, 6, 14, and 17.
12:43:5410	THE COURT: Thank you.
12:43:5411	(Juror entering the room.)
12:43:5412	THE COURT: Hello, sir. You are juror number
12:43:5413	23; is that right?
12:43:5414	A JUROR: Yes.
12:43:5415	THE COURT: That makes you Mr. Louden?
12:43:5416	A JUROR: Yes.
12:43:5417	THE COURT: So you answered yes to question
12:43:5418	number 1 that asked about the length of the trial and the
12:43:5419	schedule presenting a special problem for you.
12:43:5420	A JUROR: Yeah, I mean, I have a sales
12:43:5421	commission job where I don't get paid if I'm not working, so
12:43:5422	time off is really detrimental.
12:43:5423	THE COURT: Okay. I understand. Let me ask you
12:43:5424	about number 6 which ask if you had any experiences with
12:43:5425	Delaware Department of Correction or any relationships with

12:43:54 1 12:43:54 2 12:43:54 3 12:43:54 4 12:43:54 5 12:43:54 6 12:43:54 7 12:43:54 8 12:43:54 9 12:43:5410 12:43:5411 12:43:5412 12:43:5413 12:43:5414 12:43:5415 12:43:5416 12:43:5417 12:43:5418 12:43:5419 12:43:5420 12:43:5421 12:43:5422 12:43:5423 12:43:5424

12:43:5425

employees or inmates that would make it difficult for you to be fair and impartial, why don't you tell us about that.

A JUROR: My wife's cousin worked for the Department of Corrections, so just, you know, that's the relationship I have with one of the employees.

THE COURT: Do you think your relationship with your wife's cousin would make it hard for you to be fair and impartial.

A JUROR: I mean, I have heard stories and so yes, short answer.

THE COURT: Okay. And let me ask you about question number 14, which asked if you find that Mr. Pierce violated Mr. Szubielski's constitutional rights would you have any difficulty awarding money damages. You answered yes.

A JUROR: Yeah. I mean, again, I need to hear the details, but hearing, you know, about people getting free money is something that bothers me.

THE COURT: Do you think if I instructed you that you are to award damages if you find that damages are appropriate in this case based the evidence that you would be able to do that?

A JUROR: Maybe. I mean, again, I just feel a certain way about people getting free money.

THE COURT: Okay. And you answered yes to

12:43:54 1	question number 17 which ask if you're selected to sit as a
12:43:55 2	juror, are you aware of any reason that you would be unable
12:43:55 3	to the render a verdict based on the evidence presented at
12:43:55 4	trial.
12:43:55 5	A JUROR: Yeah. I mean, again, with knowing my
12:43:55 6	relative that was in working for the Department of
12:43:55 7	Corrections and, you know, possibly looking to gain
12:43:55 8	financial compensation for the you know, out of the case,
12:43:55 9	I don't know, those things are just something that could
12:43:5510	possibly make me unable to render a verdict based on the
12:43:5511	evidence.
12:43:5512	THE COURT: Okay. Any questions from counsel
12:43:5513	for plaintiff?
12:43:5514	MS. COZEN: No, Your Honor.
12:43:5515	THE COURT: Any questions from counsel for
12:43:5516	defendant?
12:43:5517	MR. WAN: No, Your Honor.
12:43:5518	THE COURT: We're going to have you step outside
12:43:5519	for a minute.
12:43:5520	A JUROR: Thanks.
12:43:5521	(Juror leaving the room.)
12:43:5522	THE COURT: Any application?
12:43:5523	MS. MUNNINGS: Yes.
12:43:5524	MS. COZEN: Yes, Your Honor. We would move to
12:43:5525	strike this juror for cause. He openly admitted he was not

12:43:55 1	going to be fair and impartial based on stories he heard
12:43:55 2	from his wife's cousin and he stated he could not apply the
12:43:55 3	law or render a verdict based on the law that you are
12:43:55 4	instructing him on.
12:43:55 5	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Wan.
12:43:55 6	MR. WAN: Yeah.
12:43:55 7	THE COURT: All right. I agree. We will excuse
12:43:55 8	this juror.
12:43:55 9	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Old neighbor to boot.
12:43:5510	THE COURT: The next one I have on my list by
12:43:5511	the way is Ronald Simon wait, sorry, who did we just talk
12:43:5512	to?
12:43:5513	MS. McCOWAN: Mr. Louden.
12:43:5514	THE COURT: The next one I have is Peter Slaton
12:43:5515	and then Ronald Simmons.
12:43:5516	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Your Honor, juror number 24,
12:43:5517	Peter Slaton. No responses. And that will make juror
12:43:5518	number 14 on our list.
12:43:5519	THE COURT: We have number 14. Do we want to
12:43:5520	run through those now, Ms. Garfinkel, or what makes the most
12:43:5521	sense?
12:43:5522	COURTROOM DEPUTY: I have our administrator
12:43:5523	seating them in that order from the left side if you're
12:43:5524	looking them from the bench. Do you want to read their name
12:43:5525	as well?

12:43:55 1 12:43:55 2 12:43:55 3 12:43:55 4 12:43:55 5 12:43:55 6 12:43:55 7 12:43:56 8 12:43:56 9 12:43:5610 12:43:5611 12:43:5612 12:43:5613 12:43:5614 12:43:5615 12:43:5616 12:43:5617 12:43:5618

12:43:5619

12:43:5@0

12:43:5@1

12:43:5622

12:43:5@3

12:43:5624

12:43:5625

THE COURT: Let's do that just for the record and make sure we're all on the same page here.

COURTROOM DEPUTY: Juror number 1, Carla
Cubbage. Juror number 3, Amy Tracy. Juror number 4,
Michael Whittaker. Juror number 5, Michelle Crocker. 6,
Venkatesan Ranganathan. Juror number 7, Vera Brown. 8,
Tyler Phommachanh. Juror number 9, Catherine Fridell.
Juror 13, Juan Cabrera. 14, Tammy Brown. 16, Luigi
Schiavoni. 18, Denise Macleish. 20, Robert Campbell. And
24, Peter Slaton.

THE COURT: Okay. So the way we're going to proceed is this, we're going to go back into the courtroom and I am going to announce to the venire that we're now going to start the preemptory challenge process. Each side is going to get three preemptory challenges. Ms. Garfinkel is going to go back and forth with a clipboard. Once we're done with that, we're going to seat the eight who have not been stricken in order in the jury box 1 through 8.

At that point in time, I'll bring counsel to side-bar and ask you if there are any objections to how we've conducted the jury selection process. Once we're done with that, we will excuse the remaining jurors in the courtroom. We'll have our eight. We'll swear them in and then we'll take a break.

Any questions about how we're going to proceed?

12:43:56 1	MS. COZEN: Your Honor, would it be possible to
12:43:56 2	have a ten-minute break to consult among ourselves and with
12:43:56 3	our co-counsel?
12:43:56 4	THE COURT: Ten minutes is fine, but I'll just
12:43:56 5	ask to hurry it up only because we've had the jurors here
12:43:56 6	for an extended period of time today and I want to cut them
12:43:56 7	loose to go to lunch before we get hungry jurors.
12:43:56 8	So we'll see you back in the courtroom in ten
12:43:56 9	minutes.
12:56:3510	(A brief recess was taken.)
12:56:3911	THE COURT: Please be seated.
12:56:4112	All right. Ladies and gentlemen, now we're
12:56:4213	going to move on to our preemptory challenge process. Those
12:56:4914	are challenges for which counsel can strike members from the
12:56:5315	jury for no reason.
12:56:5516	Ms. Garfinkel, can you begin the preemptory
12:57:017	challenge.
12:57:048	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes.
12:57:0919	MS. CLINE: May I ask a clarifying question? I
12:57:1120	want to make sure there is a number written next to each
12:57:1421	name, that's the juror's number?
12:57:3022	COURTROOM DEPUTY: That's the juror's number.
12:57:3423	MS. CLINE: Okay. Thank you.
13:01:3924	COURTROOM DEPUTY: When I call your juror
13:01:425	number, please come forward.

13:01:48 1	Juror number 1. Ma'am, you're going to be in
13:01:52 2	the first row, first seat. You can enter right here. You
13:01:56 3	can go behind counsel, right up there.
13:02:00 4	Juror number 4. Sir, you'll be the first row,
13:02:15 5	the third seat.
13:02:16 6	Juror number 6. You'll also be in the first
13:02:39 7	row.
13:02:43 8	Juror number 7. Ma'am, you'll also be in the
13:02:55 9	first row.
13:02:5510	Juror number 9. Ma'am, you will be in the
13:03:0911	second row, first seat.
13:03:112	Juror number 13.
13:03:4413	Juror number 16.
13:04:0514	And juror number 20.
13:04:3115	THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Garfinkel. At this
13:04:3316	time I would like to see counsel at side-bar.
13:13:4417	(Side-bar discussion.)
13:13:4418	THE COURT: So for the record at this point we
13:13:4419	have eight jurors seated in the box. Do we have any
13:13:4420	objections as to how the juror selection process was
13:13:4421	conducted today?
13:13:4422	MS. CLINE: Not from plaintiff.
13:13:4423	THE COURT: No objection?
13:13:4424	MR. WAN: No.
13:13:4425	THE COURT: So what I intend to do at this point

is excuse the remaining jurors, we'll swear these jurors in
and we'll let them go for lunch and then we'll come back and
do preliminary jury instructions.

(End of side-bar.)

For those of you who are not needed in the jury box, I want to thank you all for being here today and being so patient and making yourselves available to serve. You will not be on the jury today. You are free to collect your things and go on about your day. Again, thank you very much.

For those of you seated in the jury box, the first order of business is that we have another oath for to you today.

right hand. You and each of you do solemnly swear, those of you who swear, and you and each of you do affirm, those of you who affirm, that you will well and truly try the issue joined wherein Gerard Szubielski is plaintiff and David Pierce is defendant, and that you will a true verdict render according to the evidence, so help you God, those of you who swear, and you do so affirm, those of you who affirm. The proper response is do.

THE JURY: I do.

COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you. You may be seated.

13:13:44 1 13:13:44 2 13:13:44 3 13:13:44 4 13:13:44 5 13:13:44 6 13:13:44 7 13:13:44 8 13:13:44 9 13:13:4410 13:13:4411 13:13:4412 13:13:4513 13:13:4514 13:13:4515 13:13:4516 13:13:4517 13:13:4518 13:13:4519 13:13:4520 13:13:4521 13:13:4522 13:13:4523

13:13:4524

13:13:4525

13:13:45 1 13:13:45 2 13:13:45 3 13:13:45 4 13:13:45 5 13:13:45 6 13:13:45 7 13:13:45 8 13:13:45 9 13:13:4510 13:13:4511 13:13:4512 13:13:4513 13:13:4514 13:13:4515 13:13:4516 13:13:4517 13:13:4518 13:13:4519 13:13:4520 13:13:4521 13:13:4522 13:13:4523

13:13:4524

13:13:4525

THE COURT: So ladies and gentlemen of the jury, I am going to briefly tell you a few things right now and I will let you go off for lunch. Ms. Garfinkel in a moment is going to show you our jury room. It's right back here. We're actually in Judge Burke's courtroom right now because my courtroom is currently being constructed. So if you're looking to come back tomorrow, remember that it's not going to be Judge Hall's courtroom because I am in a closet upstairs, it's going to be Judge Burke's courtroom here. The room is going to be yours to use throughout the course of the trial. There are restrooms back there. There is a telephone. Ms. Garfinkel will explain all that to you and explain how to get in and out of chambers. You will be released to find some lunch and we will expect you back here in about an hour. It's 1:07 right now, so approximately at 2:15.

When you come back, I'm going to have some preliminary instructions for you and then we're going to let you go for the day. But in those instructions I will tell you more about your duties as jurors in this case.

Then tomorrow we'll come back, start bright and early at 9:00 a.m. for opening statements and we'll go from there. As I'll explain to you in a minute, every day you will be done no later than 5:00 p.m., some days you may be done sooner. There will be a break in morning, a lunch

13:13:45 1 break, and a break in the afternoon.

13:13:45 2

13:13:45 3

13:13:45 4

13:13:45 5

13:13:46 6

13:13:46 7

13:13:46 8

13:13:46 9

13:13:4610

13:13:4611

13:13:4612

13:13:4613

13:13:4614

13:13:4615

13:13:4616

13:13:4617

13:13:4618

13:13:4619

13:13:420

13:13:421

13:13:4622

13:13:423

13:13:424

13:13:425

A couple quick things before I let you go.

There should be no talking about the case until all the evidence is concluded and you're deliberating, so among yourselves and with your family or with anyone else, you shall not talk about the case until I tell you that it's okay to talk about the case. Also, Ms. Garfinkel will explain to you, please keep your juror stickers for identification on when you're out and about. This is Wilmington so we have lawyers around and it's a small town, we need to make sure you're identifying yourself when you're out grabbing your lunch. None of us involved in this case are allowed to talk to you until the case is over.

So if you see anybody in the elevator going to lunch or coming back and they don't say anything to you, it's not because they're being rude, it's because they're not permitted to.

With that, we'll have Ms. Garfinkel take you out and we'll have you back at 2:15 for preliminary jury instructions. I'm sorry. I need to ask one thing, which is we're still at the tail end of the Covid-19 pandemic. I can tell you that I know for sure that some of you have been vaccinated, I don't know about the rest of you. I don't know for sure that any of you have not been vaccinated, so it's quite possible that you all or possible that one or

13:13:46 1 13:13:46 2 13:13:46 3 13:13:46 4 13:13:46 5 13:13:46 6 13:13:46 7 13:13:46 8 13:13:46 9 13:13:4610 13:13:4611 13:13:4612 13:13:4613 13:13:4714 13:13:4715 13:13:4716 13:13:4717 13:13:4718 13:13:4719 13:13:4720 13:13:4721 13:13:4722 13:13:4723 13:13:4724

13:13:4725

more of you are not. When up get back to the jury room, you should make a decision about whether or not you would like to wear your masks for trial. And the way that we're going to do this is this, in this courtroom, if all of you agree that you don't want to wear masks, we're going to have none of you wear masks. If one of you have agrees, or wants to wear a mask, then everybody is going to wear a mask. That's how we're going to operate going forward. I know that the guidance is rapidly changing, but you have all come in during a time in our country where we have dealt with some challenges and I want everyone to feel comfortable rendering their service as jurors. We have had juries in the last couple of weeks and no one has worn a mask. We'll do our best to keep you separated by at least three feet. There should be room in the jury room to keep you separated by at least three feet.

If you prefer to eat your lunch elsewhere, that's totally fine. You can also eat your lunch in the jury room. But if there is no one on the jury panel that would like us to wear masks, then that's what we're going to do. So let my courtroom deputy know how you intend to proceed when you go back there as well. With that, we'll let you go back to the jury room.

(Jury the courtroom at 1:04 p.m.)

THE COURT: Okay. So we are going to let the

13:13:47 1 13:13:47 2 13:13:47 3 13:13:47 4 13:13:47 5 13:13:47 6 13:13:47 7 13:13:47 8 13:13:47 9 13:13:4710 13:13:4711 13:13:4712 13:13:4713 13:13:4714 13:13:4715 13:13:4716 13:13:4717 13:13:4718 13:13:4719 13:13:4720 13:13:4721 13:13:4722 13:13:4723

13:13:4724

13:13:4725

jurors go to lunch. Why don't we do this, why don't we all come back at 2 o'clock and then we can talk about anymore information we have with respect to the jurors masking status and decide what we want to do. I can tell you what I am inclined to do which is anyone who is at the podium asking questions can take their mask off. Anyone who is on the witness stand can take their mask off. If the jurors determine they don't want to wear masks and are okay without wearing masks, I'll put it to you to take them off if you are vaccinated. If you are not vaccinated, I would prefer you to keep them on in the courtroom, notwithstanding any other federal or state guidance.

Does anyone have any questions or statements they want to put on the record about how they proceed with masks?

MS. CLINE: Not from us.

THE COURT: Do you know from your client if he's vaccinated? If you want to say it on the record you don't have to, but I'm not sure that that's going to be an issue for your side.

MS. CLINE: Our understanding is he's been vaccinated.

THE COURT: Mr. Wan, do you happen to know if he has a preference for mask or not mask?

MR. WAN: He wore his mask for the other trial,

13:13:47 1 but I think he's fine for the other way.

THE COURT: I'll leave it for his option. If he wants to keep it on while's at the table or while he's on the stand, that's his option. I won't force anyone to take their mask off if they don't want to.

Any other questions? All right. Very good. We'll be in recess until 2:00.

(A lunch recess was taken.)

THE COURT: Please be seated. So I know for sure there are a couple of things that we can address and get out of the way in the few minutes that we got before we bring the jury back in for preliminary instructions. The first is that it's my understanding that the jury has agreed to go maskless. I see Mr. Wan's position already. Let the record reflect, Mr. Wan is unmasked as he was permitted to do and the other side has as well. It's up to you to do what you want. If you want to keep it on, that's perfectly appropriate. I don't have a view either way.

Anyone have anything else they want to say about concerns about witnesses wearing masks or not wearing masks or how they want to proceed?

MS. CLINE: We have no concerns.

MR. WAN: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, unless anyone objects,

I am going to have the courtroom staff go maskless if they

13:13:47 2 13:13:47 3 13:13:47 4 13:13:47 5 13:13:47 6 13:13:47 7 14:02:55 8 14:02:55 9 14:03:1010 14:03:1311 14:03:1612 14:03:1913 14:03:2514 14:03:3615 14:03:4216 14:03:4717 14:03:5218 14:03:5419 14:03:5720 14:04:0021 14:04:0222 14:04:0523 14:04:0524

14:04:0825

14:04:13 1

14:04:16 2

14:04:20 3

14:04:21 4

14:04:26 5

14:04:31 6

14:04:34 7

14:04:40 8

14:04:42 9

14:04:4910

14:04:5111

14:04:5412

14:04:5713

14:05:0014

14:05:0515

14:05:0916

14:05:1217

14:05:1718

14:05:2119

14:05:2520

14:05:2721

14:05:3022

14:05:323

14:05:4024

14:05:425

choose to as well. I can say for the record that all of my folks, including myself, have been vaccinated, so I don't have any concerns about that.

The second thing I wanted to get addressed was we had a concern from Mr. Wan about which side of the courtroom the plaintiff should be seated on. So I took that under advertisement and we do need to have plaintiff sit on the other side of the courtroom. And there is a number of reasons for that. He will get brought in and out through this doorway right here that's right on the side.

We don't have to decide now if you want me to say something to the jury, but what I would ask is after we conclude today, you talk to each other and think about if there is something you do want me to say. They may not even notice that you were on opposite sides especially since you haven't said anything to them yet or addressed them in any way. If you just want to switch, it may call attention to it if we make a comment, but I'll let you discuss that among yourselves and see if you can agree. And if you can't, we can take that up tomorrow morning before we start.

The other thing I had on my list was I had a question about the deposition read ins, and I'll just ask before the day that those are going to get read in that you let me know that those are coming. I was wondering whether or not you want a deposition instruction read before those

read ins. It seems it would be appropriate to do so

otherwise it may be confusing for the jury.

Does anyone have any thoughts about that?

14:05:56 4

14:05:58 5

14:06:00 6

14:06:01 7

14:06:03 8

14:06:06 9

14:06:0910

14:06:161

14:06:1912

14:06:2513

14:06:2814

14:06:3315

14:06:3616

14:06:3917

14:06:4218

14:06:4619

14:06:4920

14:06:5321

14:06:5422

14:06:5623

14:06:5824

14:07:0125

MS. CLINE: I think we agree with the Court that we prefer the instructions to be read.

MR. WAN: I think that makes sense.

THE COURT: So I'll plan to do that. It's going to be the same one that we have in the final jury instruction, but the only thing I might change is the tense of the verb. I can't remember exactly what it says.

Another thing I had on my list pertains to business records. As you saw in our final pretrial order after the pretrial conference that we made some decisions about exhibits. Those were made based on the understanding that there was no objection to any of the documents on the basis that they failed to qualify as a business record.

If that's not the case, though, you should let me know so that we can make sure to have the party who is offering the record lay the foundation for them to be a business record. Does that make sense the way I had expressed it?

I don't think anyone was objecting to those documents on the basis that they didn't meet one of the elements of the record. I think there were other objections including whether or not it was hearsay within hearsay and

whether or not they were prejudicial under Rule 403, but I
didn't hear anything say this form was a form that was
regularly kept by a prison and, therefore, it can't be a
business record. Am I misunderstanding what these receipts
were about?

MS. MUNNINGS: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Work with each other and make sure you let me know ahead of time whether or not there is going to be an objection on that basis so that we can make sure the other side lays a foundation and we didn't have to deal with it at trial.

MS. SONG: Your Honor, Rebecca Song for the record.

Just so I understand, if a party tries to the admit what we -- what may be a business record, we still need to lay a foundation and if we don't, then it's objectionable.

THE COURT: If the other side agrees that there is no objection, then you can just admit it without laying a foundation. But I had assumed there was no objection on that basis, but I also didn't ask, so I just wanted to let you know that I hadn't made a ruling that those are business records. They looked to me like they were. See if you can get it worked out among yourselves, otherwise we take it up on the day of.

14:07:04 1 14:07:08 2 14:07:11 3 14:07:14 4 14:07:18 5 14:07:18 6 14:07:19 7 14:07:21 8 14:07:23 9 14:07:2710 14:07:3011 14:07:312 14:07:3413 14:07:3414 14:07:3815 14:07:4316 14:07:4617 14:07:4618 14:07:4819 14:07:5120 14:07:5521 14:07:5922 14:08:023 14:08:024 14:08:0925

14:08:11 1 14:08:12 2 14:08:13 3 14:08:16 4 14:08:19 5 14:08:21 6 14:08:25 7 14:08:28 8 14:08:34 9 14:08:3710 14:08:4411 14:08:4712 14:08:4913 14:08:5514 14:08:5915 14:09:0316 14:09:017 14:09:0918 14:09:1519 14:09:1920 14:09:2321 14:09:2522 14:09:2823 14:09:3224

14:09:3525

MS. SONG: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: That's everything I had on my list for today. Anything anybody else wants to take up right now before we bring them in?

MS. CLINE: A couple of housekeeping items for us, just to be triple checked to make sure nothing on our end requires to get Mr. Szubielski here.

THE COURT: I believe we are issuing a writ, a habeas corpus today. Is that something that the state, you know, Mr. Wan, can just take and have the plaintiff produced tomorrow, do you happen to know?

MS. McCOWAN: I'm happy to speak because I was the liaison. I know that myself and DOC's counsel which is within DOJ had communicated with the prison and we're all on the same page that he will be transported tomorrow. I seen an e-mail that confirms that. I don't know or haven't been told that there is anything else that we need to do.

The last I heard was that there was something with the marshal's office and it seems on this e-mail that that has happened, but I don't have 100 percent clarity that everything tomorrow will go smoothly.

THE COURT: I do know when there is prisoners, there has to be coordination. It's my understanding based on some of the discussions that were had today that, in fact, he doesn't get transferred into marshal's custody but

14:09:39 1 14:09:42 2 14:09:46 3 14:09:48 4 14:09:50 5 14:09:56 6 14:09:58 7 14:10:01 8 14:10:05 9 14:10:0910 14:10:1211 14:10:1712 14:10:1713 14:10:1914 14:10:2015 14:10:2116 14:10:2517 14:10:2918 14:10:3219 14:10:320 14:10:3821 14:10:4222 14:10:4523 14:10:4824

14:10:5225

remains in state's custody, but there still has to be coordination by the marshal's office, so that's the holdup with making sure all that can happen.

Do you happen known what time they're able to bring him over? Will he be able to be here at 8:30?

MS. McCOWAN: I am not aware. We can make the request. We did provide the number that the marshal service gave us to facilitate the transfer and we did make it clear that the trial was supposed to last three business days so that he should be available here Thursday, Friday, and Monday. So if 8:30 is the time, I'm happy to convey that information.

THE COURT: Ms. Cline, anything you want to say about that?

MS. CLINE: That would be great.

THE COURT: Thank you so much. Anything else?

MS. CLINE: Two more quick ones. We're having a little struggle with the Court's WiFi. Is there a member of your staff who we may connect with after the jury leaves?

THE COURT: Absolutely. I will connect you with Ms. Garfinkel after we excuse the jury for today, and she should be able to get you in touch with the court's IT staff. I don't know if it's a password issue or a signal issue, but we can try to get that through for you.

MS. CLINE: Perfect.

14:10:52 1 We were wondering whether we could get a list of 14:10:55 2 the jurors as impaneled. 14:10:58 3 THE COURT: Yes, we can do. Do you have the list in alphabetical? 14:11:09 4 14:11:11 5 MS. CLINE: We have the alphabetical, but not 14:11:14 6 the one that is assigned juror numbers. 14:11:16 7 THE COURT: I'm going to direct you to my law 14:11:19 8 clerk afterwards and she can walk you through it. I have 14:11:23 9 got a list as well. 14:11:2410 MS. CLINE: Thank you. 14:11:2511 THE COURT: Mr. Wan. 14:11:2612 MR. WAN: Your Honor, two things. Really, one. 14:11:2813 I think we said the jury, are we going to start evidence at 14:11:3114 9:00 and have us be here at 8:30 or were we going to be here 14:11:3615 at 9:00 and start at 9:00? 14:11:3816 THE COURT: Yeah, because they were inconsistent 14:11:4017 in the voir dire and preliminary jury instructions, weren't 14:11:4418 they? Let's have us all come at 8:30 tomorrow morning and 14:11:4819 then we'll get started with opening statements at 9:00. 14:11:5220 MR. WAN: And the last thing I had was I was 14:11:5521 going to -- I generally use a podium to address the jury in openings. Is there like another one, a mini one that comes 14:11:5922 14:12:0223 in, or we'll just try to use this. 14:12:024 THE COURT: So we thought about this. The fact 14:12:0925 that the jury has now agreed to remove their masks does make

14:12:13 1 14:12:19 2 14:12:24 3 14:12:28 4 14:12:32 5 14:12:32 6 14:12:35 7 14:12:38 8 14:12:42 9 14:12:4510 14:12:4811 14:12:5312 14:12:5413 14:12:5714 14:13:015 14:13:0516 14:13:0817 14:13:1218 14:13:1519 14:13:120 14:13:2121 14:13:2522 14:13:3023 14:13:3424

14:24:1225

a difference to me. I prefer that parties stay in a box that goes no farther away from the podium than where the court reporter is sitting. So you're free to walk around here. If you want to bring in your own podium, that's fine as well.

MR. WAN: I didn't know -- in state court they have a separate small one you see. I don't know if it's called a lectern. I didn't know if the court had one.

THE COURT: I know that the U.S. attorneys' office has a podium that they take out of the closet and bring over, it's not one you want.

MR. WAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So you're welcome to walk here. I ask that you not get too close -- you're going to be seated on opposite sides, but I ask that you not get too close to the other side's counsel table. There is a little room to move. I don't want anyone getting to close to jury.

MR. WAN: I can stand at the side just so I'm facing them, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Any other questions? I believe that Ms. Garfinkel is waiting back in chambers to let all the jurors in, and she's going to bring them out. I'm going to stay here at the bench but you should feel free to do what you need to do.

(A brief recess was taken.)

THE COURT: Ms. Garfinkel is going to bring in the jury.

14:24:18 3

14:25:37 4

14:25:48 5

14:25:51 6

14:25:55 7

14:25:58 8

14:26:02 9

14:26:0910

14:26:1311

14:26:1712

14:26:2013

14:26:2314

14:26:2815

14:26:3216

14:26:3617

14:26:4018

14:26:4419

14:26:4820

14:26:5321

14:26:5622

14:27:0023

14:27:0524

14:27:0725

(Jury entering the courtroom at 2:24 p.m.)

THE COURT: Please be seated. Welcome back, ladies and gentlemen of the jury. Ms. Garfinkel, my deputy, is going to hand each of you a copy of a document called preliminary jury instructions and the first thing we're going to do in this trial is I am going to read this document to you.

So you each have your own copy and that will be yours to keep throughout the trial. You're free to follow along and read from the paper or you can put it down and listen if you prefer, whatever you like.

sworn, I have the following preliminary instructions for your guidance as jurors in this case. You will hear the evidence, decide what the facts are, and then apply those facts to the law that I will give you. You, and only you, will be the judges of the facts. You will have to decide what happens. I play no part in judging the facts. You should not take anything I may say or do during the trial as indicating what I think of the evidence or what your verdict should be. My role is to be the judge of the law. I make whatever legal decisions have to be made during the course of the trial and I will explain to you the legal principles

14:27:11 1

14:27:14 2

14:27:17 3

14:27:20 4

14:27:29 5

14:27:34 6

14:27:36 7

14:27:39 8

14:27:44 9

14:27:4810

14:27:5511

14:27:5812

14:28:0313

14:28:0714

14:28:1015

14:28:1416

14:28:1717

14:28:2218

14:28:2919

14:28:320

14:28:321

14:28:4022

14:28:4523

14:28:5024

14:28:5625

that must guide you in your decision. You must follow that law whether you agree with it or not.

I will now give you a brief overview of who the parties are and what each contends. The plaintiff is Gerard Szubielski who had been incarcerated at the James T. Vaughn Correctional Center in Smyrna, Delaware during the relevant time period. During the trial you may hear Mr. Szubielski referred to by his name, or as plaintiff. You may also hear the James T. Vaughn Correctional Center referred to as Vaughn or JTVCC. The defendant is David Pierce who was a warden at the James T. Vaughn Correctional Center during the relevant time period. During the trial, you may hear Mr. Pierce referred to by his name or defendant.

Mr. Szubielski alleges a violation of his constitutional rights under the First Amendment of the United States Constitution. Specifically, Mr. Szubielski brings a claim under Title 42, United States Code Section 1983, which I may refer to as Section 1983, alleging that Mr. Pierce violated Mr. Szubielski's First Amendment rights by retaliating against him.

Mr. Szubielski alleges that he participated as an exemplar in a lawsuit against the Delaware Department of Corrections, challenging the use of solitary confinement to house prisoners suffering from mental disease. An exemplar means that the court papers in that case described

Mr. Szubielski's time classified in the most restrictive
maximum security housing unit, the solitary housing unit,
the SHU, and his mental health treatment throughout that
period.

14:29:14 5

14:29:18 6

14:29:23 7

14:29:27 8

14:29:34 9

14:29:3910

14:29:4311

14:29:4812

14:29:4813

14:29:5414

14:29:5715

14:30:0016

14:30:0317

14:30:0718

14:30:1119

14:30:120

14:30:1721

14:30:222

14:30:2523

14:30:3024

14:30:325

Mr. Szubielski claims that as a result of
Mr. Szubielski serving as an exemplar, Mr. Pierce retaliated
against him by ignoring the recommendation of prison staff
to reclassify Mr. Szubielski to medium security by vetoing
his reclassification issue. Mr. Pierce contends that he did
not retaliate against Mr. Szubielski for his participation
as an exemplar in a lawsuit, nor for any other First
Amendment reasons.

Mr. Pierce contends that at the time he vetoed Mr. Szubielski's reclassification, he was unaware of Mr. Szubielski's participation in a lawsuit as Mr. Szubielski was not identified by name in the lawsuit, and Mr. Pierce had not seen a copy of the lawsuit at the time of the veto. Mr. Pierce contends that he vetoed Mr. Szubielski's reclassification to medium security for other reasons.

I will give you detailed instructions on the law at the end of the case and those instructions will control your deliberations and decision. But, in order to help you follow the evidence, I will now give you a brief summary of the elements which plaintiff must prove to make its case.

14:30:38 1 14:30:42 2 14:30:46 3 14:30:50 4 14:30:54 5 14:30:58 6 14:31:03 7 14:31:05 8 14:31:09 9 14:31:1510 14:31:1811 14:31:2412 14:31:2913 14:31:2914 14:31:3215 14:31:3616 14:31:4317 14:31:4518 14:31:4819 14:31:5320 14:31:5@1 14:31:5922 14:32:0123

14:32:0624

14:32:1025

Mr. Szubielski is suing under Section 1983, a civil rights law passed by congress that provides the remedy to persons who have been deprived of their federal constitutional rights under color of state law. To prevail, Mr. Szubielski must prove that while acting under the color of state law, Mr. Pierce deprived Mr. Szubielski of a federal constitutional right.

To succeed under this claim, under section 1983, Mr. Szubielski must prove the following by a preponderance of the evidence. First, Mr. Szubielski must prove that he engaged in constitutionally protected conduct, a person's right to sue. Lawsuit is conduct that is protected by the constitution.

Second, Mr. Szubielski must demonstrate that he suffered as adverse action. An adverse action is an action that deters an ordinary person from engaging in constitutionally protected conduct.

Third, Mr. Szubielski must show that the protected conduct was a substantial or motivating factor in the prison official's decision to take an adverse action.

Again, I will give you detailed instructions on the law at the end of the case.

Now, a few words about your conduct as jurors.

First, I instruct you that during the trial and until you have heard all of the evidence and retired to the jury room

14:32:14 1
14:32:17 2
14:32:22 3
14:32:25 4
14:32:28 5
14:32:31 6
14:32:35 7

14:32:39 8

14:32:45 9

14:32:4810

14:32:5211

14:32:5512

14:33:0013

14:33:0314

14:33:0615

14:33:1016

14:33:1517

14:33:1918

14:33:2419

14:33:2820

14:33:3421

14:33:3822

14:33:4123

14:33:4524

14:33:4925

to deliberate, you are not to discuss the case with anyone, not even amongst yourselves. If anyone should try to talk to you about the case, including a fellow juror, bring it to my attention promptly. There are good reasons for this ban on discussion, the most important being the need for you to keep an open mind through the presentation of evidence.

I know that many of you use cell phones, smart phones and other portable electronic devices, laptop, tablet and other computers both portable and fixed and other groups of technologies to access the internet and communicate with others. You must not talk to anyone about this case or use a tool to communicate electronically about this case. includes your family and friends. You may not communicate orally about the case on your cell phone, smartphone or portable or fixed computer or device of any kind or use these devices to communicate electronically by messages or postings at any time, including e-mail, instant message, text, services such as Twitter or through any blog, website, internet chatroom or by way of any other social networking site or services including Facebook, LinkedIn, SnapChat, YouTube. If any lawyer, party, or witness does not speak to you when you pass in the hall, ride the elevator or the like, remember, it is because he or she is not supposed to talk or visit with you, either. That is why you are asked to wear your juror tag, it shows that you are someone who is

not to be approached in any way.

14:33:54 1

14:33:56 2

14:33:58 3

14:34:04 4

14:34:07 5

14:34:11 6

14:34:15 7

14:34:19 8

14:34:22 9

14:34:2810

14:34:3211

14:34:3612

14:34:3913

14:34:4314

14:34:4715

14:34:5116

14:34:5417

14:35:0018

14:35:0319

14:35:020

14:35:021

14:35:1122

14:35:1623

14:35:2124

14:35:2525

Second, do not read or listen to anything related to this case that is not admitted into evidence. By that I mean if there is a newspaper article or radio or television report related to this case, do not read the article or watch or listen to the report. In addition, do not try to do anything independently or do an investigation on your own on matters related to the case, the parties in this case or the type of case. Do not use party or witnesses involved with this case. You are to decide the case upon the evidence produced at trial. In other words, you should not consult dictionaries or reference materials, search the internet, websites, blogs, or use any other electronic tools to obtain information about this case, or to help you decide the case. Please do not try to find out information from any source outside the confines of this courtroom. Again, do not reach any conclusion on the plaintiff or the defendant until all of the evidence is in. Keep an open mind until you start your deliberations at the end of the case.

Finally, if any member of the jury has a friend or family member who is in attendance at this public trial, that visitor must first register with my Court because of special rules. You may not discuss any aspect of this trial with a visitor nor may I permit the visitor to discuss it

14:35:30 1

with you.

14:35:30 2 14:35:36 3 14:35:40 4 14:35:44 5 14:35:48 6 14:35:52 7 14:35:56 8 14:35:59 9 14:36:0410 14:36:0811 14:36:1012 14:36:1413 14:36:1614 14:36:2115 14:36:2616 14:36:2917 14:36:3218 14:36:3519 14:36:4020 14:36:421 14:36:4522 14:36:4923

14:36:5224

14:36:5525

I will also inform you as to those general rules that will govern the discharge of your duties as jurors in this case. It will be your duty to find from the evidence what the facts are. You, and you alone, will be the judges of the facts. You will then have to apply those facts to the law as I will give it to you both during these preliminary instructions and at the close of the evidence. You must follow that law whether you agree with it or not. Again, of course, you are bound by your oath as jurors to follow these and all the instructions that I give you, even if you personally disagree with them. All the instructions are important and you should consider them together as a whole. Perform these duties fairly. Do not let bias, sympathy, or prejudice that you may feel toward one side or the other influence your decision in any way.

Also, do not let anything that I may say or do during the course of the trial influence you. Nothing that I may say or do is intended to indicate, or should be taken by you as indicating what your verdict should be.

During the trial it may be necessary for me to talk with the lawyers out of your hearing by having a bench conference. If that happens, please be patient. We are not trying to keep important information from you. These conferences are necessary for me to fulfill my

14:36:59 1 14:37:02 2 14:37:04 3 14:37:06 4 14:37:12 5 14:37:15 6 14:37:20 7 14:37:23 8 14:37:24 9 14:37:2810 14:37:3011 14:37:3412 14:37:3513 14:37:4114 14:37:4315 14:37:4516 14:37:4917 14:37:5218 14:37:5319 14:37:520 14:37:5921 14:38:0122 14:38:0423 14:38:1124 14:38:1525

responsibility which is to be sure that evidence is presented to me correctly under the law.

We will, of course, do what we can to keep the number and length of these conferences to a minimum. I may not always grant an attorney's request for a conference. Do not consider my granting or denying my granting of a conference as my opinion of the case or what your verdict should be.

The evidence from which you are to find the facts consist of the following:

- The testimony of the witnesses or reference transcript;
 - 2. Documents or other things such as exhibits;
- 3. Any facts that are stipulated, that is formally agreed to by the parties; and
- 4. Any facts that are traditionally noticed, that is facts I say you must accept as true even without other evidence.

The following things are not evidence:

- Statements, arguments and questions of the lawyers to the parties in this case;
 - Objections by lawyers;
 - 3. Any testimony I tell you to disregard; and
- 4. Anything you may see or hear about this case outside of the courtroom.

14:38:16 1
14:38:19 2
14:38:22 3
14:38:26 4
14:38:31 5
14:38:35 6
14:38:39 7
14:38:41 8
14:38:45 9
14:38:4810

14:38:4811

14:38:5012

14:38:5413

14:38:5814

14:39:015

14:39:0616

14:39:0917

14:39:1418

14:39:1719

14:39:2120

14:39:2421

14:39:2722

14:39:3023

14:39:3224

14:39:4125

You must make your decision based only on the evidence that you see and hear in court. Do not let rumor, suspicions or anything else you may see or hear from outside of court influence your decision in any way. You should use your common sense in weighing the evidence. Consider it in light of your every day experience with people and events and give it whatever weight you believe it deserves. If your experience tells you that certain evidence reasonably leads to a conclusion, you are free to reach that conclusion.

There are rules that control what can be received into evidence. When a lawyer ask a question or offers an exhibit into evidence and the lawyer on the other side thinks that it is not permitted by the rules of evidence, that lawyer may object. This simply means that the lawyer is asking I make a decision on a particular rule of evidence. You should not be influenced by the fact that an objection is made. Objections to question are not evidence. Lawyers have an obligation to their clients to make objections when they believe that evidence being offered is improper under the rules of evidence.

You should not be influenced by the objections or by the Court's ruling on it. If the objection is sustained, ignore the question. If it is overruled, if you are instructed that some item of evidence is received for a

104

14:39:44 1 14:39:47 2 14:39:52 3 14:39:55 4 14:39:59 5 14:40:04 6 14:40:08 7 14:40:09 8 14:40:13 9 14:40:1710 14:40:2011 you. 14:40:2412 14:40:2913 conclusion. 14:40:3014 14:40:3515 14:40:3816 14:40:4117

14:40:4618

14:40:5019

14:40:5320

14:40:5521

14:40:5722

14:41:0223

14:41:0324

14:41:025

limited purpose only, you must follow that instruction.

Also, certain testimony or other evidence may be ordered struck from the record and you will be instructed to disregard this evidence. Do not consider any testimony or other evidence that gets struck or excluded. Do not speculate about what a witness might have said or what an exhibit might have shown.

Do not be concerned about whether evidence is direct evidence or circumstantial evidence. You should consider and weigh all of the evidence that is presented to you. If your experience tells you that certain evidence reasonably leads to a conclusion, you are free to reach that conclusion

In deciding what the facts are, you may have to decide what testimony you believe and what testimony you do not believe. You are the sole judges of the credibility of the witnesses. You may believe everything a witness says, only part of it, or none of it. In deciding what to believe, you may consider a number of factors including the following:

- The opportunity and ability of the witness to see, hear, or know the things that the witness testifies to;
- The quality of the witness' understanding or memory;

14:41:07 1 14:41:11 2 14:41:14 3 14:41:18 4 14:41:22 5 14:41:26 6 14:41:27 7 14:41:31 8 14:41:34 9 14:41:3610 14:41:3911 14:41:4012 14:41:4513 14:41:4814 14:41:5215 14:41:5516 14:41:5917 14:42:0518 14:42:0919 14:42:1220 14:42:1521 14:42:222 14:42:2523 14:42:2624

14:42:325

- The witness' manner while testifying;
- 4. Whether the witness has an interest in the outcome of the case or any motive, bias or prejudice;
- 5. Whether the witness is contradicted by anything the witness said or wrote before trial or by other evidence;
- 6. How reasonable the witness's testimony is when considered in the light of other evidence that you believe; and
- 7. Any other factors that bear on believability.

A deposition is the sworn testimony of a witness taken for trial. The witness is placed under oath and swears to tell the truth, and lawyers for each party may ask questions. A court reporter is present and records the questions and answers. Deposition testimony is entitled to the same consideration and is to be judged insofar as possible in the same way as if the witness had been present and testified. Do not place any significance on the behavior or tone of voice of any person reading the questions and answers. Only the lawyers and I are allowed to ask questions of witnesses. You are not permitted to ask questions of witnesses.

During the trial, I will permit you to take notes. A word of caution is in order. There is always a

14:42:36 1 14:42:41 2 14:42:44 3 14:42:47 4 14:42:51 5 14:42:55 6 14:42:59 7 14:43:02 8 14:43:07 9 14:43:1010 14:43:1311 14:43:1712 14:43:2113 14:43:2514 14:43:2915 14:43:3216 14:43:3617 14:43:3818 14:43:4119 14:43:4520 14:43:5021 14:43:5422 14:43:5823 14:44:0224

14:44:025

tendency to attach undue importance to matters which one has written down. Some testimony which is considered unimportant at the time presented and thus not written down takes on greater importance later in the trial in light of all the evidence presented. Therefore, you're instructed that your notes are only a tool to aid your own individual memory. You should not compare your notes with other jurors' concept of any testimony or evaluating the importance of any evidence. Your notes are not evidence and are by no means a complete outline of the proceedings or a list of the highlights of the trial. Above all, your memory should be your greatest asset when it comes time to deliver and render a decision in this case. If you do take notes, leave them in your seats. At the end of the day my deputy will collect them and return them to your seat the next day. Remember, they are only for your own personal use.

I will give you detailed instructions on the law at the end of the case and those instructions will control your deliberations.

This is a civil case. Mr. Szubielski is a party that brought this lawsuit. Mr. Pierce is a party against whom the lawsuit was filed. Mr. Szubielski has a burden of proving the claims of his case by what is called the preponderance of the evidence. That means Mr. Szubielski has to prove to you that in light of all the evidence, what

14:44:09 1 14:44:15 2 14:44:20 3 14:44:24 4 14:44:29 5 14:44:34 6 14:44:38 7 14:44:41 8

14:44:43 9

14:44:4610

14:44:5111

he claims to be true is more likely than not true. To say it differently, if you were to put the evidence favorable to Mr. Szubielski and the evidence favorable to Mr. Pierce on opposite sides of the scales of justice, Mr. Szubielski would have to make the scales tip somewhat slightly to his side. If Mr. Szubielski fails to meet this burden, the verdict must be for Mr. Pierce. If Mr. Szubielski meets the burden, the verdict must be for him.

If you find after considering all the evidence that a claim or fact is more likely so than not so, then the claim or fact has been proving by a preponderance of the evidence.

In determining whether any facts has been proved by a preponderance of the evidence in the case, you may unless otherwise instructed consider the testimony of all witnesses, regardless of who may have called them, and all exhibits received in evidence, regardless of who may have produced them. On certain issues, called affirmative defenses, a defendant has the burden of proving the elements of the defense by a preponderance of the evidence. If Mr. Pierce asserts an affirmative defense, I will instruct you on the facts that will be necessary for you to find on this affirmative defense. An affirmative defense is proven if you find after considering all evidence in the case that Mr. Pierce has succeeded by proving that the required facts

14:44:551.2 14:44:551.3 14:44:591.4 14:45:031.5 14:45:061.6 14:45:111.7 14:45:151.8 14:45:191.9 14:45:242.0 14:45:242.1 14:45:322.2 14:45:352.3 14:45:352.4

14:45:4325

14:45:48 1 are more likely so than not so.

> You may have heard of the term proof beyond a reasonable doubt. That is a stricter standard of proof and it applies only in criminal cases. It does not apply in civil cases. And as such, you should put it out of your mind.

The trial will proceed in the following manner. First, the attorney for Mr. Szubielski will make his opening statement to you. Next, the attorney for Mr. Pierce will make an opening statement. What is said in opening statement is not evidence, but is simply an outline to help you understand what each party expects the evidence to show.

Once the attorneys have made their opening statement, each party is given an opportunity to present its evidence. Mr. Szubielski goes first because Mr. Szubielski has the burden of proof. Mr. Szubielski will present witnesses who counsel for Mr. Pierce may cross-examine. Mr. Szubielski may also present evidence such as documents and testimony.

Following Mr. Szubielski's case, Mr. Pierce may present evidence. Counsel for Mr. Szubielski may cross-examine witnesses for the defense. After the party's main case is presented, they may be permitted to present what is called rebuttal evidence.

After all the evidence has been presented, I

14:45:50 2 14:45:54 3 14:45:57 4 14:46:02 5 14:46:06 6 14:46:06 7 14:46:09 8 14:46:12 9 14:46:1510 14:46:1911 14:46:2412 14:46:2913 14:46:3214 14:46:3515 14:46:3816

14:46:4117

14:46:4618

14:46:5019

14:46:5120

14:46:5721

14:47:0022

14:47:0323

14:47:024

14:47:0925

109

14:47:12 1
14:47:15 2
14:47:22 3
14:47:25 4
14:47:31 5
14:47:35 6
14:47:39 7

14:47:43 8

14:47:46 9

14:47:4810

14:47:5411

14:47:5712

14:48:0013

14:48:0514

14:48:1015

14:48:1516

14:48:1917

14:48:2318

14:48:2719

14:48:3020

14:48:3421

14:48:3722

14:48:4023

14:48:4224

14:48:4725

will present to you closing arguments to summarize in a way that is helpful to their client's position. As with opening statements, closing arguments are not evidence, but rather evidence of the case from the perspective of each party.

will again instruct you on the law and then the attorneys

Once the closings arguments are completed, I will then instruct you on the law for a final time. After that, you will retire to the jury room to deliberate on your verdict in this case.

So you have heard me say this during voir dire. I want to again outline the schedule I expect to maintain during the course of the trial. This case is expected to take three days to try. We will normally begin a day at 9:00 a.m. promptly. So I may have said during voir dire that we anticipated starting at 9:30 tomorrow, but we are going to try to start at 9:00 a.m., we will go until approximately 1:00 p.m. and after a one-hour break we'll return from 2:00 p.m. to 4:30 p.m., there will be a fifteen-minute break at morning and another fifteen minute break at afternoon. The only significant exception to this schedule may occur when the case is submitted to you for deliberation. On that day, the proceedings might last beyond 5:00 p.m.

We will post a copy of your schedule for your convenience in your jury room. Please keep in mind this is

14:48:51 1 14:48:55 2 14:48:58 3 14:49:02 4 14:49:04 5 14:49:09 6 14:49:12 7 14:49:20 8 14:49:25 9 14:49:2910 14:49:3011 14:49:3312 14:49:5013 14:50:1114 14:50:1415 14:50:1616 14:50:1917 14:50:2218 14:50:2619 14:50:2820 14:50:3021 14:50:3422 14:50:3723 14:50:4124

14:50:4525

a timed trial. That means I have allocated each party a maximum number of hours in which to present all portions of its case. That allows me to assure you that this case is expected to be completed by Monday. In fact, you can help us stay on schedule by getting here promptly each morning and being ready to proceed at the end of each break.

And with that, I will release you for the day. We will see you back here at 9:00 a.m. tomorrow. We'll anticipate getting started bright and early with opening statements.

Ms. Garfinkel, you may take the jury out.

(Jury leaving the courtroom at 2:49 p.m.)

THE COURT: Please be seated. Is there anything else we need to address this afternoon?

MS. CLINE: Just one more question. So as I understand it, tomorrow we're going to flip so we'll be over here with Jerry, and the question about how he is going to be escorted or how he is going to transport himself to the witness box in front of the jury.

THE COURT: We did wonder about that. There is a couple of possibilities and I would be open to hear counsel's position on them. If you wanted to have him walk up to the jury box, we can have the jury here and when you call him, we can find out from whoever has custody of this person how they can safely get him up there. The other

14:50:49 1	possibility is if you wanted, we could send the jury out and
14:50:55 2	have him situated and then have him already up on the stand
14:50:58 3	and bring the jury back in.
14:51:00 4	MS. CLINE: Do you know whether he'll be
14:51:03 5	shackled at the wrist or the feet?
14:51:05 6	THE COURT: I unfortunately don't know that.
14:51:07 7	Ms. McCowan?
14:51:09 8	MS. McCOWAN: I believe he will.
14:51:10 9	THE COURT: Be shackled at both his wrist and
14:51:1210	his feet?
14:51:1311	MS. CLINE: During testimony as well.
14:51:1512	MS. McCOWAN: I would assume so.
14:51:2013	MS. CLINE: May I consult?
14:51:2214	THE COURT: Yes.
14:51:3815	MS. CLINE: Your Honor, so we are if it
14:51:4116	pleases the Court, I think it would be best if
14:51:4417	Mr. Szubielski was brought to the stand before the jury was
14:51:4718	brought in. And then just a related question. We had
14:51:5019	spoken with Judge Andrews about getting him a long sleeve
14:51:5520	T-shirt to cover up the tats and I don't know how it's going
14:51:5&1	to work with handcuffs, but we were hoping to meet with him
14:52:0122	before the trial started so he can put that on.
14:52:0323	THE COURT: I do remember seeing that in the
14:52:0524	transcript.
14:52:025	Ms. McCowan, do you have an understanding of how

we can proceed with that?

MS. McCOWAN: From what I understand, I don't know that we were aware that you were going to be giving him one. I think he may have already had one.

MR. SHIEKMAN: Excuse me. I met with him.

Larry Shiekman, also for Mr. Szubielski. I met with him at the prison yesterday. He does not have a T-shirt. We have one here. We're happy to give it to the lawyers and they can make arrangements, we're happy to deliver it to the marshals now and they can search it. It's in a Target bag from which someone purchased it for him.

THE COURT: I'm wondering whether, because I assume they can unshackle him when he's in the holding cell, I wouldn't think he would be shackled the whole time when he's done here, so I could be wrong about that.

MS. McCOWAN: I'm not sure.

THE COURT: It is my understanding that Judge

Andrews issued an order that was permitting this, so we need
to try to figure out a way to make it happen. I prefer not
to reopen it, but we don't have enough information right
now.

MR. WAN: If they're able, hopefully we can try to coordinate. They should be able to speak with them tomorrow morning I think before trial, so maybe at that time, or maybe now bring it to the marshals, okay, he's

14:52:09 1 14:52:10 2

14:52:13 3

14:52:16 4

14:52:20 5

14:52:21 6

14:52:26 7

14:52:29 8

14:52:33 9

14:52:3510

14:52:3911

14:52:4112

14:52:4413

14:52:4814

14:52:5215

14:52:5416

14:52:5517

14:52:5818

14:53:0019

14:53:0520

14:53:0921

14:53:1022

14:53:1323

14:53:124

14:53:1925

14:53:23 1 supposed to wear this long sleeve shirt. I'm only speaking 14:53:27 2 from my experience from state court where we go down to 14:53:30 3 speak with prisoners, they want some type of exchange there, I don't know how it is with U.S. Marshals. 14:53:34 4 14:53:37 5 THE COURT: If there is a question about that, we can take it up tomorrow morning if it becomes an issue, 14:53:39 6 14:53:42 7 and we can bring the folks in here and see if there is some way we can work this out. It seems to me, and again, I'm 14:53:45 8 14:53:48 9 not a federal agent, I don't know what their plan is, but it 14:53:5110 seems to me if he's unshackled and in the cell in the 14:53:5511 morning there ought to be some kind of opportunity to do a 14:53:5812 quick change, but we'll take it up tomorrow. 14:54:013 MR. SHIEKMAN: I'll go down as soon as court is 14:54:0314 over today and explain to the marshal what we're doing. I can leave the T-shirt with them or bring it back. 14:54:0815 14:54:1016 THE COURT: All right. So what might make the 14:54:1817 most sense is for us to plan on doing the opening statements right in the morning and then taking a quick break. 14:54:2118 14:54:2419 Do we have a sense, and you don't have to tell 14:54:220 me, about how long the opening statements are going to take 14:54:3021 for each side? MS. MUNNINGS: About thirty minutes, Your Honor. 14:54:3122 14:54:323 THE COURT: Okay. 14:54:3424 MR. WAN: Five minutes.

14:54:3525

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, we'll take

14:54:38 1 a quick brief break after those are done if he intends to be 14:54:43 2 your first witness. 14:54:44 3 I don't have a recollection if there was a 14:54:47 4 ruling in the pretrial order about exchange of opening statement slides or exhibits the night before. Do you have 14:54:53 5 14:54:58 6 slides and is there going to be an exchange to the other 14:55:01 7 side? 14:55:01 8 MS. MUNNINGS: We do not anticipate using 14:55:04 9 slides. 14:55:0410 THE COURT: Does the state? 14:55:0511 MR. WAN: No, Your Honor. 14:55:0612 THE COURT: That makes things easy. Very good. 14:55:0913 All right. Anything else? 14:55:1214 MS. SONG: Your Honor, there is one matter about 14:55:1415 impeachment evidence. We all know Mr. Szubielski has a 14:55:2316 record, and I had provided written notice to plaintiff's 14:55:2617 counsel on the use of conviction record, I wasn't sure if this was the appropriate time to raise that. I do believe 14:55:3118 14:55:3519 plaintiff's counsel is objecting. THE COURT: Is there going to be an objection to 14:55:3820 14:55:4021 the use of a prior criminal conviction as impeachment. 14:55:4622 MR. SHIEKMAN: Again, Larry Shiekman. We did 14:55:4823 respond to Ms. Song in an e-mail, I believe I did last week, 14:55:5224 and I have not heard from her about discussing -- to discuss that. Why don't we try to discuss it after court today and 14:55:525

14:55:59 1	see if we can reach some agreement. I think in light of how
14:56:02 2	we intend to present the case, it is likely that it will not
14:56:07 3	be an issue, but we ought to have a chance to talk about it
14:56:10 4	first, I think.
14:56:11 5	THE COURT: Very good. I'll ask you to meet and
14:56:14 6	confer and then we'll raise it at 8:30 in the morning if
14:56:18 7	there is still an issue. We're talking about Federal Rule
14:56:21 8	of Evidence 609.
14:56:23 9	MS. SONG: Yes, Your Honor.
14:56:240	THE COURT: Very good. Anything else?
14:56:261	MS. CLINE: Not from plaintiffs.
14:56:2812	MR. WAN: I don't think so, Your Honor.
14:56:3013	THE COURT: All right. I think we can say in
14:56:3214	hindsight that we probably made the right call in how to
14:56:3515	proceed today, thinking about everything that's happened. I
14:56:3916	hope you all get a good night sleep tonight and we'll see
14:56:4217	everybody in the morning.
14:56:4318	(Court recessed at 2:56 p.m.)
19	
20	I hereby certify the foregoing is a true and
21	accurate transcript from my stenographic notes in the proceeding.
22	/s/ Dale C. Hawkins_
0.0	Official Court Reporter
23	U.S. District Court